



# Heidegger's Children:

The Strange Rebirth of Anglo-Christian Extremism  
In The West

*by*

**TheAngryindian**

*Editor-in-Chief of the Aboriginal Press News Service (APNS)*



This collective work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

\*

*This publication was created entirely with Creative Commons/Open Source/Freeware materials and software*

## Author's Foreword

'All nationalists have the power of not seeing resemblances between similar sets of facts. A British Tory will defend self-determination in Europe and oppose it in India with no feeling of inconsistency. Actions are held to be good or bad, not on their own merits, but according to who does them, and there is almost no kind of outrage — torture, the use of hostages, forced labour, mass deportations, imprisonment without trial, forgery, assassination, the bombing of civilians — which does not change its moral colour when it is committed by 'our' side. The nationalist not only does not disapprove of atrocities committed by his own side, but he has a remarkable capacity for not even hearing about them'.

- **George Orwell**, Notes on Nationalism

=

## A Word in the Ear of the Reader:

This is not a book about Martin Heidegger, (1889-1976) nor is it a study of his written philosophy. This is instead a collection of previously published APNS editorials examining how otherwise intelligent people and entire educated societies can descend into political madness in the name of nationalism, race and the free-market social order.

Heidegger as intellectual is a subject beyond the scope of this eBook. And this is in no way a lay attempt to answer the unpleasant philosophical quarrel as to how his academic labour weighs against his political and personal history as a card-carrying member of the German Nazi Party. I leave such questions to those who sit quietly in private rooms thinking deeply about the 'why's' and 'if's' of our all-too-confusing existence to make those critiques.

Heidegger the philosopher is not the focus here. What is at issue is his example as a rational human being who should have known better. The question of how an intelligent academic of philosophical insight could be a xenophobic fascist born and raised in what was supposed to be the most enlightened nation in Western Europe is a messy and emotional one. Martin Heidegger was a real person. Which means like the rest of us, he wasn't perfect and had glaring contradictions that he learned to live with.

His long and passionate affair with Jewish political writer Hannah Arendt in spite of his noted Judeophobia is one such

contradiction and there are numerous parallels in the multi-ethnic, yet mono-cultural modern West. His Nazi sympathies are not in dispute, only his judgment as an analytical person. And I contend in these commentaries that conservatism in US, UK, Canadian and Australian politics since 9/11 and even earlier laid the foundations for the contemporary rise of Christian capitalist extremism we are currently witnessing in the international #Occupy Movement.

What is most surprising about the resurgence of Anglo-Christian totalitarianism in the 21st century is the queer fact that such a thing could happen at all. There are still those who personally witnessed the insanity of the 1930's and 40's walking about, able and willing to talk freely about their experiences. Every year numerous lectures, documentaries and remembrances are conducted in the spirit of 'Never Again', but apparently no one in the Anglo-phone world is listening any longer. No one considered these issues during the Balkan Wars and still more ignore the linkages between the secular State of Israel's practise of anti-Arab ethnic cleansing and the European rejection of all human morality under the sociopolitical leadership of the Christian Fascist governments of Europe.

The existence of the far-right in the English-speaking world is not new, but what is new is the intensity of the fear behind such movements. From the pro-Nazi English Defence League (EDL) to the neo-Confederate Tea Party that rose in response to the election of Barack Obama, the first US African head-of-state, White supremacist sentiments have forcefully come out of the closet.

Conservative, White Christian capitalist angst, rooted in little more than a primitive, ignorant fear of the unknown, has become the acceptable order of the day again. Why? Because the White society is afraid of what it has created. The global economic crisis, which was spurred on by the racist anti-African sub-prime home loan swindle, is just one example. There are many, many others but it remains abundantly clear that conservative thinking and the cultural xenophobia that goes along with it is detrimental to collective human survival. Political paranoia about immigration, interracial marriage, Islamic terrorism, religious fundamentalism and the uncertainties of new multicultural identities emerging in the White World has provoked the European 'Master Race' to lose its collective mind. And unfortunately, sociopolitical conservatives in the Anglo-phone world have used these anxieties to further their economic and political goals despite the conspicuous dangers to Western democracy.

As we are witnessing during the #Occupy protests in the United States, democracy is a privilege reserved for the wealthy. The connections between the corporate sector, (s)elected government and civilian law enforcement have been laid bare in the brutal and clearly unconstitutional and unethical treatment accorded to peaceful American, Canadian and British protesters struggling non-violently and honourably for their human and civil rights. Conservatism has a legitimate place in politics. But conservative extremism deserves no such consideration. It has shown itself by the lessons of history to be a philosophy of destruction and genocide and we would do well to consider its dangers.

George Orwell warned us of what was coming. Shall we ignore the current class-war taking place in the streets of the world? Are we still foolish enough to believe that 'our' fascism will be 'better' than Adolf's version because a few Homosexuals and ethnic minorities have been invited to participate in the system? Can endless war and corporate exploitation continue indefinitely?

I have no idea what Martin Heidegger would say to these pressing questions. I am asking you, the reader, if you think that your life will be better under Democratic Fascism. Do you think that maintaining the extant power structure with all its economic, political and educational injustice is worth cheating the meek of the world? Is economic, social and political genocide a good thing? No, it isn't. It is wrong and it is just the opposite of logic in a world that uses violence to 'prove' its sanity.

The modern rise of fascism is not the responsibility of Mr. Heidegger but it is his legacy. Had he not been tolerated as a great mind following the fall of the Third Reich perhaps we would not now be dealing with the likes of Newt Gingrich and the other far-right politicians trying to convince us 'lesser mortals' that the only way to salvation is through the rule of race, money and broad Europocentric-controlled military power. Have we learned nothing from classical Rome, Greece or mid-20th century Europe?

Conservative politics is at its base irresponsible, selfish, intemperate and it breeds violent fascists who will always attempt to force and bully others into conforming with their particular worldview. Instead of trying to reason with unreasonable Nazi wannabes we should be calling them out as the hypocritical, vicious and small-minded frightened bastards that they really are. Our failure as intelligent people to do something positive to prevent the negative may be the end of rational humanity as we have come to know it.

Our intellectual inaction may in fact lead to our destruction. And we have only ourselves to blame.

-TheAngryindian

# Heidegger's Children:

The Strange Rebirth of Anglo-Christian Extremism  
In The West



## Of Caucasians, Christians and Desperate White Men:

Why Conservative Racialists in the US, UK and Israel  
Are Ultimately Responsible for the Tragedy in Norway

**TheAngryindian**

07.06.2001

‘As for a climactic conflict between a once-Christian West and an Islamic world that is growing in numbers and advancing inexorably into Europe for the third time in 14 centuries, on this one, Breivik may be right’.

**-Patrick Buchanan**

As sensible people try to find psychic balance after the deplorable attacks in Norway against cultural progressivism in Europe, it has become painfully clear to all that Christian fascism, xenophobia and right-wing violence have again become an undeniable part of everyday life in the west. As much as it hurts, White society must finally accept the fact that what occurred in Norway did not arise out of a vacuum. Only a flat-out bigot would try to deny that right-wing Christian terrorism does not have a long and sordid history of violent opposition to ethnic and religious minorities, left-wing politics and progressive social change. Despite what conservative apologists might say, their religion has not taught them anything about tolerance and peace.

The racist doctrine of White ‘ethnic’ supremacy has been lovingly nurtured in popular culture, spiritually endorsed by Jesus’ theologians and accepted by many within the White and non-White mainstream as an equitable politic in the 21st century. When wealthy Caucasian dandies like fashion designer John Galliano<sup>1</sup> and pop icon to the forlorn, Morrissey, start playing around with far-right racialism, it is painfully obvious that being a dedicated follower of fascism has become more than respectable.<sup>2</sup> It’s back in vogue. We can continue to bury our heads in the sand like the Obama administration and pretend that White racism on a global scale does not exist, or, we can pragmatically move forward by bravely addressing the ugliness that is staring us in the face.

Like it or not, White racist attitudes have been a prominent part of the European social fabric since antiquity.

---

<sup>1</sup> Film of John Galliano’s racist rant in bar’ - The Sun [<http://ow.ly/7Obg6>]

<sup>2</sup> ‘MORRISSEY Flying the flag or flirting with disaster’? - [<http://ow.ly/7obbcc>]

And it is foolhardy to overlook the reality that xenophobia is still used as a political tool by the European and Euro-settler upper-classes. Visible examples of pro-White, anti-immigrant and anti-Islamic sentiment have been simmering in Western Europe ever since the fall of the Berlin Wall. Inspired by US President Ronald Reagan's ceremonial state visit to honour the Nazi dead of Bitburg, Germany in 1985,<sup>1</sup> Eastern European fascists simply brought their admiration for Adolf Hitler along with them to the now unified Bundesrepublik Deutschland. And guess what, no one cared.

In the UK, Tory Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher deftly contributed to the rise of the right by making it perfectly understood that she felt White Britons were 'tired of being swamped by immigrant cultures'<sup>2</sup> as Brick Lane slowly began to turn sepia. A conscious move on her part to make peripheral use of the National Front and the British Movement which began attacking Asians, Africans and Jews at will. And during that time, they were quietly protected by the veiled racist sympathies of the British media and local law enforcement.

It sounds like a chapter pulled out of the Mussolini years but it isn't. It is a matter of the international public record and it is rarely mentioned in connection with the role of fascism in modern Europe.<sup>3</sup> The acceptable line of selective thinking argues 'that was then and this is now'. Things have changed they tell us. But do not be fooled by what seems like progress. The rank unpleasantness the 'Great Communicator' and Baroness Thatcher gave birth to in the 1980's is still with us. Only the superficial veneer is different. The current US President Barack Obama may be of African descent, but his portfolio as an American politician is no less Eurocentric and no less racist than any of his predecessors. By and large, the most powerful Black man in history has done more than any other world leader on record to enforce the machiavellian processes of White Christian supremacy by any means necessary. And no one knows this better than self-aware African and Indigenous peoples.

Conservative White folks and other suckers suffering from acute Europhilia may be able to ignore the realities of this apathetic disdain, but people of colour and the poor are suffering loudly and in plain sight. It does not take more than a glance to see just how little his administration is concerned with how bad African people in America are doing under federal Black leadership.<sup>4</sup> Although he is deep into his first term as Commander-in-Chief, Mr. Obama has yet to address institutional anti-African or anti-Indigenous racial discrimination, the widespread social crisis of racial profiling or the established inhumanity of overtly racist police departments or conservative judicial brutality against the poor. Now that it is achingly clear to the conscious that the incessantly repeated fear of an Obama-led era of Marxist-neo-Nazi-Wahabbist 'reverse-discrimination' against White Christian American world is patently ridiculous, what next? Will the racists and politically stupid now cease behaving like the goofy characters in 'Springtime for Hitler' or will they persist in their pursuit of a global Whites-only agenda? You know the answer to this question as well as I do.

So for brevity's sake let us cut to the chase and admit to a few well-known social variables. Even if it happens to embarrass the Europeans who may be reading this commentary. The phenomenon of pro-European racism and exploitation of darker peoples is, and has been, a reality across the world since the classical Roman Empire. We can get into lengthy discussions about how and why later. But let us suffice it for now by saying that what we are dealing with in terms of the 'new' Christian fascism is in reality, a White man'

---

<sup>1</sup> 'Reagan Joins Kohl in Brief Memorial at Bitburg Graves' - [NYTimes.com <http://ow.ly/7obef>]

<sup>2</sup> ontd\_political: 'More Evidence That Margaret Thatcher Was Racist, Everyone Fairly Unsurprised' [<http://ow.ly/7obhz>]

<sup>3</sup> BBC News: 'Thatcher comments 'encourage' racism' - [<http://ow.ly/7Obj0>]

<sup>4</sup> 'Black Unemployment Rises Even As Overall Jobless Rate Drops' - [<http://ow.ly/7Oblw>]

s problem. Faulting the people of the Third and Fourth Worlds and social progressives for what has happened in Norway is like blaming Jews for the Odessa pogroms.

And truth be told this is exactly what is being done with the editorial reportage of Breivik's bloody massacre of the innocent. The victims, mostly young socialist-leaning citizens and residents of Norway, are ultimately being blamed for what happened to them.<sup>1</sup> And US media jester and devout Mormon Glenn Beck did just that when he equated the socialist victims of the Utøya attacks with the Hitler Youth cadres of the German Nazi era.<sup>2</sup> And really, is anyone in the literate world really surprised by this, or the fact that this vicious Mormon bigot still has his job? The sad fact is that Glenn Beck and the other unrepentant Völkisch journalists like him in the US, UK and Israeli news media did exactly what they were expected and paid to do. In a conscious fit of blatant White supremacist damage control they all blamed the attacks on Islamic extremism, even after it became clear that the perpetrator was a Christian zealot hoping to spark an anti-Islamic 'Holy War' in the traditional lands of the Master Race and Palestine.

### **The 'Truth' Game**

For once it would be refreshing if White society actually took responsibility for its own congenital insanities, but this isn't likely to happen anytime soon. Expecting the dominating Eurocentric racist world order to willingly come to grips with its own xenophobic hubris is foolish. Denial is central to the paradigm and White racism as an ideology has been spinning the same outrageous frequent liar programme across the globe for generations. The reality that people around the world are being starved, maimed and killed in the name of positive White control has always been soft-pecked and dismissed as inconsequential. Although racist laws are considered morally reprehensible, Apartheid in South Africa was clearly defined as racial separation and it was still supported by all of the major democratic powers.

We can make believe that we are witnessing a sudden sea change in social and political attitudes, but this just isn't credible. The writing, in large part due to the maddening and sickening violence of Anders Breivik, is on the proverbial wall and it is written in scarlet. You and I both know what is really going down in the world, to whom it is happening to and ultimately, who it is that benefits from the institution and maintenance of human-on-human brutality. And we are all cowards for knowing this and for allowing it to continue in a democracy. And Whites who work overtime to deny what has been going on in their name for centuries are the greatest cowards of all.

At some point one would hope that White people as a demographic would eventually come to their senses and stop playing games with the lives, minds and general welfare of others by facing up to the truth of their own belligerent behaviour. They could actually begin right now by admitting to themselves that the Christian terrorist, Anders Behring Breivik, is one of theirs. This hasn't happened yet because the conservative lobby is doing everything possible to deny the obvious connections him and the rest of the White 'race'. Some are even going so far as to deny that Breivik is actually 'White' since we now know that Breivik decided to undergo plastic surgery in the United States in order to look, 'more Aryan'. Twisted identity politics maybe? Perhaps. But no matter how the conservative lobbies in the US, UK and Israeli may try to spin things, Anders Breivik in all his anti-Muslim, bathed in the blood of Jesus glory belongs to them lock, stock and bloody barrel.

---

<sup>1</sup> 'Glenn Beck compares teen victims of Anders Behring Breivik's attack to Hitler Youth - VIDEO' | Irish News | IrishCentral <http://ow.ly/7oboX>

<sup>2</sup> 'Beck slams, promotes youth political camps' | SouthCoastToday.com <http://ow.ly/7ObrP>

Anyone who has watched the rise of the far-right in Europe and North America is not surprised by this omission of kinship. And this writer for one does not accept the juvenile arguments of the White Christian talking heads that are trying to convince us that no one could have seen this coming. You and I both know that this is indeed a lie. And further, we know that such protestations are more than just disingenuous. They are also telling in that any rush to vigorously defend Breivik's Christian terrorism and racism at this moment only blatantly exposes the establishment's unmistakable fondness for this sort of Eurocentric extremism.

There is no excuse for this. How can there be after the ravages of Mussolini, Hitler and Franco? Pretending that they did not do their very best to burn their way across the subcontinent in pursuit of 'purity' is inherently dangerous and stupid, but who really remembers anymore? Who really cares? Not the educated, apathetic Judeo-Christian democratic populations of the exalted west. They have made a conscious choice to dismiss the lessons of any history they regard as a passing joke, including their own.

Considering the hard reality of the mass graves left over from the ethnocidal breakup of Yugoslavia, how can anyone in the progressive world sit quietly while it happens all over again? Remember that Yugoslavia was supposed to be the genocide everyone said was impossible. Yet, it happened right in front of the United Nations and the world's news cameras while the European and American far-right laughed at the world community. Why not? They were watching their fascist compatriots from World War Two, the Hrvatski Revolucionarni Pokret (or Ustaše) of Croatia come back to the world stage blessed and endorsed by the world's superpowers as a legitimate government and military force. They also thought well of the Kosovar Albanian Ushtria Çlirimtare e Kosovës or KLA, an extremely violent and xenophobic criminal faction that Yugoslavian government and intelligence authorities officially identified as a terrorist group on line with the Sicilian Mafia. NATO, along with several foreign observers and journalists in the country during the conflict, even went so far as to declare that the KLA were dead-serious about 'cleaning-out' Kosovo's Serb population and expanding their criminal enterprises internationally.

Curiously, no one was interested in this news. And despite their murderous activity, the US state dept. and the other European powers who could have stopped them did not regard the KLA as terrorists while Slobodan Milosevic's government certainly did. And when the KLA was finally removed from the official terrorism watchlist in 1998 by the US, UK and France, the capitalist powers began building business relationships with the major Kosovar caporegime as if nothing had happened. The victims are not remembered much less regarded any longer and the rest of the story is simply seen as the bygone history of an unpleasant time in the Balkans. Business as they say, is business.

As we can see, if the far-right were really considered a threat by the ruling classes they would have been stopped cold in the 1940's. Instead, (and with considerable help from Pope Pius the XII and US intelligence) the Nazi's simply moved to the Americas and continued on with their work.<sup>1</sup> This goes a long way in explaining why even the organised, government sponsored madness that swept Europe under fascism has been largely forgotten aside from its unfortunate misuse as a political tool.<sup>2</sup> We know this because when US Christian-Zionists began defining the European Holocaust as a gift sent to them from the Almighty, virtually no one objected. And as bizarre as this idea sounds, the fact remains that this outlandish belief is shared amongst a great number of ethnic Jews.<sup>3</sup>

The Zionist Organization of America for instance not only defended but actively promoted the US evangelical

---

<sup>1</sup> John Cornwell: 'Hitler's Pope: The Secret History of Pius XII', (1999)

<sup>2</sup> 'The Holocaust Industry' | Norman G. Finkelstein [<http://ow.ly/7ObCF>]

<sup>3</sup> 'Zionist Organization of America Defends Beck, Condemns Soros' - Big Journalism [<http://ow.ly/7obyS>]

shaman John Hagee when he began preaching that the genocides of the last century were necessary for Yahweh (????) to bring back the state of Israel. Clearly, the Evangelical Christian desire for Armageddon, the Rapture and a holy 'race war' far outweigh any logical or moral considerations for social justice. The victims of White religious and capitalist violence are simply seen as acceptable exodus fodder, nothing more. They are either exploitable pawns or annoying hindrances standing in the way of God's divine plan. Either way, they must and will be moved into positions of advantage for European uses. This is the vicious cycle Scotsman Adam Smith described with his 'Vile Maxim of Mankind', the willingness of the rich to take unfair advantage of the weak while actually believing that such an arrangement is 'fair'. So much for the wonder that is capitalism.

Social xenophobia is bad enough, but denying that it isn't a political and economic device when it is clearly evident in thought and deed is vulgar.<sup>1</sup> This is why conservative media attempts to downplay what happened in Oslo and Utøya are patently absurd. They selectively ignore the political role of Judeo-Christian violence, slavery and ethnic repression in Europe and throughout its colonialist holdings during the Catholic-led Crusades immediately after the Reconquista in 1492. It also leaves out the horror-show that developed after those overseas colonies became independent and internally-colonialised the native populations they displaced or enslaved for their own benefit. This includes the direct involvement of German and US private industry, international banking and the Catholic Church who knowingly assisted in carrying out the 'Final Solution'. Because of this moral negligence, belligerent fascism has returned with a vengeance. And seemingly, no one in authority is willing to stop it.

Especially not the first African leader of the whole free world. Like the Roman Emperor Septimius Severus Augustus or the native collaborators who proudly served with the South African Police during Apartheid, Mr. Obama has shown precious little mercy to other people of colour at home or abroad. Native Americans, Africans across the Diaspora and Spanish-speaking Indigenous immigrants are doing worse now than ever before.<sup>2</sup> While at the same time, White conservatives gripe and grumble about Obama's supposed war against European American and the White Christian heritage. This is of course absurd on a variety of levels, mostly because Mr. Obama has done more to uphold traditional standards of 'Whiteness' in the United States than any one else. By the same token, the European conservatives that are complaining about him the most do not believe in the system nearly as strongly as he does. The ideal world these idiotic people claim to want is being given to them by the Obama administration on a platter. Arabs and Muslims are being militarily intimidated, Africans and being starved and racially profiled and immigrants, (who aren't White) are being ejected from the US at record numbers. So what are they complaining about? Thanks to Mr. Obama and his financial backers, it is the people of non-White, non-Christian world that are paying for US capitalism's rampant and unrestricted greed. Self-evidently, then, it stands to reason that the racist opposition to Barack Obama is just that, an issue of White racism, not of political differences.

The sordid hoaxes circulating about his non-connections to Weather Underground alumni Bill Ayers, the location and conditions surrounding his birth, his genealogy and his religious convictions are all about White fears of a Black and Brown planet. Their racism is so ingrained and so blinding that they cannot see how closely the Obama presidency actually mirrors their twisted vision of White American global supremacy. Politically, militarily and economically, the United States is without a doubt still the biggest bully on the block. This is the reality. And the Obama administration has done absolutely nothing but expand their capabilities while US citizens bear the brunt of the nation's economic collapse.<sup>3</sup> Without mercy, the Obama

---

<sup>1</sup> SEE: Greensboro massacre - Wikipedia, [<http://ow.ly/7obOB>]

<sup>2</sup> 'In Job Hunt, College Degree Can't Close Racial Gap' - NYTimes.com [<http://ow.ly/7ObSs>]

<sup>3</sup> BBC News - 'Obama celebrates 50th birthday amid US economic woes' [<http://ow.ly/7ObV4>]

administration has pressed on with the so-called 'War on Terror' in search of its 'enemies', the very people, these ignorant arses say Barack Obama 'really' represents.

Their nonsensical theories also painstakingly leave out the fact that the Goldman Sachs Group and other wealthy capitalist contributors to his campaign were clearly making an investment for their own best interests, not for the working classes most of these White supremacist Neanderthals happen to hail from. Their gross ignorance of politics and history, even of their own country, makes it nearly impossible for them to comprehend what is really happening. Their fears that the election of Barack Obama is some sort of depraved Manchurian Candidate socialist coup d'état' designed by Islamic mullahs to enslave America's non-White population is based on absolute smoke. Just as it was during the Antebellum Era and Jim Crow, White folks, bigoted or not, live in morbid fear of an angry and massive backlash for all that has and continues to happen under European racialism and exploitation. But it is not the dark masses that encourage this derangement, it is the inner-human, the race-neutral consciousness

And because of this historical paranoia, Europeans have never felt totally secure anywhere, even within their own territorial domains. Anti-miscegenation laws, 'Separate but Equal' education restrictions and the application of indiscriminate White terrorist violence are symptoms of this fear. The mark of the racist is the stubborn unwillingness to acknowledge his or her own fears of the 'Other'. And if one is to take the late Algerian psychologist Franz Fanon seriously, Whites often react as explosively as they do precisely because *they know how they would react* if it was they who were faced with the same sort of oppressions non-Europeans are expected to endure quietly.<sup>1</sup> White people for the most part walk the Earth as if they own it most anywhere and in relative safety. Nonetheless, those Whites that cannot or refuse accept even this are, as we have seen in Norway, prepared to go to extraordinary lengths in the name of White racial and cultural 'security'. From false propaganda about the first African US president to violent acts directed against non-White people and left-wing political activists, White racism as a movement is willing to go that extra mile. It needs to be reckoned with as a danger to the greater society but until the powers that be decide to a 'War on Racism', expect the White Power movement to grow even more as the world's economic health continues to deteriorate.

### **Xenophobia, Paranoia and Negligence**

Almost from the moment Anders Breivik declared himself the hero of White Christian Europe, conservative opinion makers began doing ju-jitsu with the facts behind his ideological ideas and inspirations. Why is the far-right allowed to get away with this? Obviously because someone within the Eurocentric power structure wills it and the psychologically downtrodden masses passively allow it to continue. It is clear that the more White control is challenged, the more White society is seen to overreact. This is indisputable. And it also gives us an important clue as to why Anders Breivik is being accorded such undue preferential treatment and extreme tolerance by the White, mainstream press. Very few conservative news agencies refer to him as a terrorist and most far-right media pundits now enthusiastically describe him as insane and 'deeply disturbed'. We are told by his lawyer and other experts that he is not entirely responsible for his actions. At first this seems almost reasonable until you think for a moment about how Arab, Muslim and left-wing terrorist suspects and acts are handled by the establishment information paradigm as opposed to how White, far-right terrorist activity is dealt with.

---

<sup>1</sup> SEE: 'The Wretched of the Earth' by Frantz Fanon [<http://ow.ly/7ObXY>]

Have Jose Padilla or Pfc. Bradley Manning received this sort of consideration or attention from the mainstream media? When was the last time you read a story or report discussing at length the mental stability of a known Muslim terrorist or noticed a veiled tolerance for their extremist religious convictions? And why is this not a legitimate question? Neo-Nazi, White supremacist and anti-immigrant factions operating in Europe, North America and Australia are by their own admission using violence and intimidation to get their politics across through a policy of fear. Yet, democratic governments in the west are only concerned about such groups when their actions cross the line into threatening their own legal authority or political existence.

Given the extensive record of far-right violence in the past as well as the present one would logically expect to find these entities at the very top of the list for anti-social threats, not at the very bottom.<sup>1</sup> But this is the reality of the situation internationally, and American and European governments are entirely at fault for allowing and encouraging the existence of such organisations in the first place. Democratically-elected governments are supposed to defend their societies against threats to the progressive well-being of their constituents. But they cannot do that and covertly align themselves with the very same negative forces that almost destroyed the world at the same time and call themselves moral. We are forgetting what it means to be and how to remain human. And it is unambiguous that no one in a position of authority thinking in terms of raw political and economic power is willing to identify such movements as contrary to the social good.

The extant Europocentric paradigm operates on two distinct principles: first, it makes damn sure that the literate population only understands the world through a rhetorical mythology concerning the 'Enlightened European' and two, that the class-separated masses observe a closed-minded and emotional rejection against their own betterment. Under such jaundiced circumstances, White Christian violence and marginalisation against minorities, Muslims, socialists, atheists, homosexuals, anti-war protesters and non-submissive women can almost always be dismissed as justifiable. Particularly if you repeatedly and intentionally mischaracterize these issues as conservatives regularly do via their lapdogs in the mainstream corporate owned media.

Not a day goes by when the spokespersons for the powerful do not make light of the everyday concerns of real people. With the authority granted to them by the political and religious elite, the problems of historical and institutional White racism, Christian hegemony and capitalist domination are articulated as someone else's problem. In this way the sole responsibility for doing anything about it is placed on the victims, not the perpetrators. The international discourse concerning social injustice is little more than a sad joke. Europeans are held accountable for nothing. And the United States, now led by a son of Africa, will belligerently defend the influence of White racism and politicised Judeophobia so long as it is beneficial to their imperialistic aims.

I don't say this without credible evidence. When reports began circulating that the USA's chief media jester Glenn Beck had the unmitigated gall to tell his dim-witted public that the people killed by Anders Breivik were left-wing 'Hitler Youth' wannabes, everyone just shrugged. By rights, Beck should have not only have lost his job but should have been swiftly drummed out of the industry for such callous insensitivity. But if you look closely you'll notice some things you might not wish to see. For one, its hard not to notice that the usual Zionist jackals obsessed with ruining the lives and careers of honest journalists and bloggers have not gone after Glenn Beck. Even after his numerous verbal assaults against the 'uniqueness' of the Jewish holocaust under the Nazis, Beck and people like him have yet to be seriously hindered by their hypocritical positions concerning gentile bias towards the world's Jews.

In fact, it seems as if their radical Jewish support actually increases after such utterances of stupidity.

---

<sup>1</sup> SEE: PublicEye.org - The Website of Political Research Associates [<http://ow.ly/7oc1s>]

Following his nonsensical remarks about Reformist Judaism being a different kind of 'radical Islam', support for Beck among hard-line Zionists in the US and Israel grew substantially. And let's not forget that the head of the very Zionist Anti-Defamation League, Abraham Foxman, actually had the audacity to apologise to Beck after the popular 'Two Minutes' Hate' chap suggested that capitalist George Soros, a Jew, helped 'send other Jews to the death camps'.<sup>1</sup>

Where is the Zionist lobby when the western world could really use them? As I have said before, Beck's shameless, pro-gentile Zionism is simply Christian theological chauvinism in drag. It isn't serious. But now that Beck has yet again crossed the line into territory that has permanently ruined the careers and personal lives of better mortals, where are the usual Zionist loudmouths and why haven't they called for his symbolic flogging? Where are the 'responsible' talking heads of the mainstream media, the American Exceptionalist crowd and the Zionists now that Beck has identified the young victims of a rabidly fascist, anti-Islamic Christian terrorist as a left-wing gaggle of the 'Hitler Youth'?

In a logical world, Israel's zealous-minded supporters in the west would have a great deal of explaining to do. But as we have seen in the editorial aftermath of the Norway tragedy, the Zionist lobby not only approved of the Oslo and Utøya attacks but cheered the racism, Islamophobia and the terrorist proudly taking responsibility for the attacks. As writer J.J. Goldberg has accurately noted, radical as well as moderate Jewish conservatives have gratefully given their sympathy and support to Breivik mostly because of what they perceive as his uncompromising stance in support of their claim to Occupied Palestine. After this, let there no longer be any doubt that international Zionism is perfectly willing to stand by a frenzied, racist Christian terrorist seeking to reestablish the decidedly Judeophobic medieval Order of the Knights Templars:

*'The debate exploded aboveground on Saturday in an opinion essay at Ynet (in Hebrew only) by Ziv Lenchner, a left-leaning Tel Aviv artist and one of Ynet's large, bipartisan stable of columnists. It's called "Dancing the Hora on Norwegian Blood." He argues that the comment sections on news websites are a fair barometer of public sentiment (a questionable premise) and that the overwhelming response is schadenfreude, pleasure at Norway's pain'.*

Historically speaking, the Templars were a professional Catholic military organisation that violently occupied and looted Jerusalem during the Crusades by killing Jews, Muslims and non-European Christians in the name of the Mother Church. Their religious biases against the people who dared crucify 'The Christ' at Golgotha is beyond credible refute. But modern Zionists simply gloss over this specific by only recognising the Islamophobic and Europocentric aspects of the story. They do this in favour of the 'White makes Right' philosophy, a racialist gospel that assumes for itself a dominating role within any given setting no matter what the circumstances are. Proof of this can easily be found in any popular English or Hebrew-language web portal that is covering Breivik's gross act.<sup>2</sup> Without a doubt, Israelis and Israel-supporters that have bothered to comment at all on the attacks or the debate surrounding the attacks have clearly focused their attention on whether or not the victims of Oslo and Utøya 'deserve' any respect at all.<sup>3</sup>

Don't believe me, read the disturbing comments left by conservatives on the web for yourself via the anti-Zionist crew over @ Jews Sans Frontiers and a translation provided by one of their compatriots from the original Hebrew by way of Ynet:

---

<sup>1</sup> Glenn Beck George Soros Holocaust | Mediaite [<http://ow.ly/7oc9N>]

<sup>2</sup> 'Norway's challenge' - JPost - Opinion - Editorials [<http://ow.ly/7Ocee>]

<sup>3</sup> Jews sans frontiers: 'Israeli netizens share their feelings about Norway massacre' [<http://ow.ly/7Ocji>]

- 
1. And in the mosque there won't be some ceremony?
  2. It's fun and warms the heart to see them crying!!!!
  3. Go to hell. Haters of Jews/Israelis, anti-semites busy with the problems of others all day—here you got some too. [signed Zionist]
  4. I have no identification with an anti-Semitic country that leads to the hatred of Israel. Not happy, not sorry.
  5. All in all, what they asked for is what they got!!!!
  6. [in Norwegian.] Serves you right, you Nazis.
  7. He is a hero, kill all leftists, expel all Muslims.
  8. European efficiency.
  9. It's only a matter of time until an Israeli rightwinger will do something similar.
  10. My heart with the families of all the victims. I wish you will never know more sorrow and I wish all the wounded will heal as quickly as possible and will put this tragedy behind them. Condolences and sympathy from Israel. [Norwegian and English]
  11. I have hope too... that you have many more days of mourning and tears.
  12. Feel a little bit of what we feel here all the time, maybe now you'll understand what it is, terror.
  13. The ugly Israeli continues to talkback. Shame on you, you bunch of people who rejoice in the suffering of others dancing on the blood. It's a shame that you even hold Israeli IDs.
- 

As you can see, Norway is viewed by Zionist hard-liners as too pro-Palestinian for its own good. Once it became clear via his numerous online screeds that Anders Breivik as anti-Arab spree killer was acting on his anti-Islamic theological and political beliefs to combat Islam in Europe, he immediately gained their loyalty as a fellow fighter engaged in their struggle. Don't be surprised by this. Especially since the discourse has evolved, if you will, into a Hasbara-driven controversy suggesting that any objective criticism of the pro-Breivik position amongst Zionists and Israel's goyim supporters is actually veiled anti-Israeli and anti-Jewish prejudice in disguise.<sup>1</sup>

### **The Dynamics of Denial**

'It is out of the question for the leader of the Western world to lay a wreath in a war cemetery where Nazi storm troopers are buried. ... The stated purpose, reconciliation, is being drowned in a rising flood of long-buried passions from the death camp survivors, who feel as betrayed and abandoned as they did 40 years ago.'

**- Washington Post, 23 April 1985**

If you are confused at this juncture be not afraid, because that is exactly the point of all of this circular semantic diarrhoea. Remember if you will for a moment how all this began. The mainstream media in the west immediately began flooding the international news cycle with false and sensationalist headlines stating as fact that al Qaeda had finally penetrated Europe by attacking two targets in Norway. Although they had absolutely no evidence for this, their contemptible reportage on the story forced the public opinion broadly against Muslims whom they mistakenly held responsible for the double attacks.

The propaganda politruks working within mainstream media did their damndest to create the falsehood that

---

<sup>1</sup> 'Norway Shooter Anders Breivik's Zionism in Line With Pro-Israel European Right' | Occupied Palestine [http://ow.ly/7Ocm9]

militant Islam was making a serious bid to make itself known in Europe. Even the technical journal Wired published a story that assumed only Muslims could have been behind the attacks showing, rather embarrassingly, that the supposedly 'liberal' sectors of US society are not all that tolerant of religious differences. Apparently even 'geeks' can share the very same knee-jerk prejudices of the rabidly extreme anti-Muslim far-right.

What about the other overlooked fact that the establishment media's knee-jerk 'terrorist' label was abandoned the split-second Anders Breivik was identified as the culprit? How does his act of religious indiscriminate violence differ qualitatively from other acts of theological xenophobia? Does Breivik's ideological position in full support of White Power globally exclude him from being considered a terrorist? It is a reasonable question since independent investigators and journalists not swamped by corporate censorship have been finding connections between Breivik and known White supremacist organisations operating in Europe. Including ties to the Christian fundamentalist movement gaining social and political ground in the United States.<sup>1</sup>

This isn't a big secret. The US based New York Times, to its credit, did admit that Anders Breivik was extremely enthusiastic about the rise of the racist right-wing in the United States. But they noticeably stopped short of holding traditional American fascism responsible for its role for what happened in Oslo and Utøya. Not surprisingly, popular media attention in the US has been critically focused upon the entertainment aspects of this story instead of the serious speculation one would expect considering the importance of the issues at hand.

Earnest, investigative journalism concerning the conspicuous ideological and religious use of US, UK and Israeli cultural Islamophobia and political conservatism is difficult to find in the mainstream. As expected, the Christians have given themselves a pass. The religio-political movement founded by the late Rev. Jerry Falwell, when one really thinks about it, is perhaps ultimately responsible for creating the sort of poisonous social climate that has made unconscionable far-right bastards like Anders Breivik possible. But do not expect to read anything about this is the western press which is still ideologically blaming Muslims in Europe for Breivik's murderous rampage.

The artful dodging of responsibility for US Euro-settler Christian fascism's international influence says a great deal about the level of cowardice shown by conservatives and White racists when faced with the morose reality of their twisted and hypocritical rhetoric. The American racists and Islamophobics cited by Anders Breivik in his detailed writings, (still available online for the time being) represent a hodgepodge of right-wing ideologues. But is it clear from reading his work that his main source of inspiration is centrally rooted in the United States and the US-led anti-Palestinian Zionist movement. His list of popular anti-Muslim media propagandists includes such luminaries as Pamela Geller, (creator of the wildly ridiculous 'birther' myth suggesting that Barack Obama is Malcolm X's illegitimate son) Daniel Pipes, Robert Spencer and hard-line Israelis like Avigdor Lieberman and Caroline Glick. Each of these names figure prominently in his writings as definitive reference points for articulating his personal insecurities about non-White inclusiveness in European social life. A concern duly noted by US pundit and legendary pro-Europocentric bigot Pat Buchanan who succinctly put it this way, 'Breivik may be right' when he opined that the Norwegian Christian Berserker's idea of a major military conflict between the Christian European world and Islam may actually be the next logical step forward for White survival.

Scared yet? If not you should be. While the fascist 1930's are without question furiously nipping at our heels,

---

<sup>1</sup> 'Christian Fundamentalist Group Preaches Patriarchy and Women's Fertility as Weapons for Spiritual Warfare' | Civil Liberties | AlterNet [<http://ow.ly/7OcoW>]

the bright minds of the political, legal and journalistic establishment say there is nothing for us to worry about. Rest assured they tell us, the culture war against Muslims in Europe and North America is still on the table despite its idiocy. Especially if professional Islamophobic politicians like Irish Republican Army supporter Rep. Peter King and the very Catholic Rudolph Giuliani have anything to say about it. And if you can see past the glaring hypocrisy you'll notice that their stated political beliefs and religious convictions are as weak as their antiquated, narrow-minded arguments. Please believe, there is nothing, absolutely nothing, democratic, religious or sane about conservative politics in the west.

Still not convinced that there is more to this tragedy than the conservatives are making things out to be? If that is the case, it would do well for the reader to consider the base ideas behind Breivik's obsession with 'Whiteness' and his affection for Christian cultural and racial 'purity'. Ideas often do have an ideological genealogy and unfortunately, many of the visible road signs along Breivik's explosive path lead directly to the doorstep of the American and United Kingdom's organised far-right. Although Breivik's connections to the Scandinavian branch of the English Defence League and infamous Irish loyalist and Neo-Nazi supporter Jonathan 'Mad Dog' Adair (former brigadier of the notorious West Belfast UDA) have been positively confirmed, his emphatic allegiance to America's brand of White supremacist thinking is being selectively ignored.

The US ideological component is interestingly rarely mentioned in relation to Breivik's politics and you should be asking yourself why. Very few foreign observers would try to refute that the United States is currently the world leader in popular racism although most mainstream US citizens and residents will vociferously argue the reverse. No matter, American conservatives regularly and treacherously perpetuate the false myth of an organised left-wing/Islamic extremist alliance against the entire White world population all day long. The craziness is now so embedded that socially conservative politicians, pundits, clerics and politicians, both White and non-White, are finding it necessary to ride the wave of populist racism if they intend to stay socially relevant.

### **Europocentrism Revisited**

This is a clear throwback to the melodramatic and quasi-religious whinings of traditional Euro-American White Power terrorist organisations such as the Ku Klux Klan and the 'respectable' Bible-thumping bigots who populate the membership of John Birch Society. And Anders Breivik is known to have travelled, (and sought surgical services) to the United States where he hoped to make personal contact with professional Islamophobes such as the 'Ground Zero Mosque' maven Pamela Geller, (who is doing everything possible to refute any link between them) American White supremacist Christian militia extremist groups like the Army of God and the belligerent members of the pro-corporatist Tea Party. If you have the stomach and the time for it, try reading some of Breivik's published Christian Übermensch manifesto, his 1,500-page statement regarding his admiration for the Americans and the conservative Christian movement. In his own words he makes it plain that the US is his main inspiration and that he was certain American fascists would approve of his ideas:

*'A majority of Europeans love the U.S....just like a majority of Europeans support Israel's fight against Jihad. But considering the fact that 80-90% of the media + politicians 'officially' support Cultural Communist views it's only natural that the coverage is extremely biased'.*

Now, where have we heard this line before?<sup>1</sup> Taking his cues from the American fascist nut-cases he likes

---

<sup>1</sup> FAIR: 'Examining the "Liberal Media" Claim' [<http://ow.ly/7OctE>]

so much, Breivik parrots the groundless fib that liberal bias in the mainstream media distorts the 'truth' of White Christian superiority and the threat of Islamic infiltration. A charge that makes little sense considering that the US media industry is a field hopelessly overloaded with stiff, conservative-minded simpletons who do little but intentionally report misleading and contradictory information to serve the extant corporate interest. This is incontestable. Simply look at the ownership of the major news providers and see for yourself who actually 'owns' the media. Under such obviously parochial conditions the concept of 'truth', as one UK official candidly put it, 'can be a dubious proposition'.

How US conservatives can spin the Norway incident into a 'warning' about the evils of Islam in the White world only makes sense when you consider the actions of American extremists at home. Anders Breivik may very well have gained some of his inspiration from the rogues gallery of American Christian terrorists that have made the news headlines in recent years:

Eric Robert Rudolph - The pro-Christian anti-abortion extremist responsible for numerous bomb attacks in the United States between 1996 and 1998 that killed two and injured at least 150 other people. He hoped that attacking the Olympic Centennial Park in Atlanta would lead to the end of the radical Marxist and homosexual 'agenda' in the US.

Timothy McVeigh, Terry Nichols and Michael Fortier - Hard-line Christian Identity followers and militia movement members who planned and executed the bomb 1995 attack against the Alfred P. Murrah Building in Oklahoma City.

Byron Williams - Inspired by the conspiracy theories endorsed by right-wing conservatives such as Glenn Beck, this unemployed carpenter donned body protection, loaded his mother's vehicle with automatic weapons (loaded with armor-piercing rounds) and headed off with the expressed intent of killing of progressives in liberal San Francisco. After he survived an extended fire-fight with the California Highway Patrol who stopped his car for erratic driving, Williams readily admitted that he wanted to start a revolution by 'Killing people of importance at the Tides Foundation and the ACLU'.

George Sodini - A devout Christian upset with the Obama election and his belief that: 'Black dudes have thier choice of best white hoez....' decided to take three loaded weapons into a Pennsylvania dance class and shoot wildly after he shut off the lights. He killed three women and severely wounded nine other people before killing himself.

James W. Von Brunn - A lifelong White supremacist and cold-blooded Judeophobe, Von Brunn led a lone armed assault against the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington DC in 2009 that killed an African-American security guard. Later, when US President Barack Obama spoke of the need to 'remain vigilant against anti-Semitism and prejudice in all its forms', he failed to mention that Von Brunn's racism was not limited to Jews.

Jared Lee Loughner - Lone gunman who shot nineteen people, killing six, during a public gathering presided over by Arizona State Representative Gabrielle Giffords who was also very severely injured but survived the attack. Also killed in the attack was US District Court Judge John Roll also representing that state's government.

This is far from a complete list. I could have added minor White Power superstars like Christian terrorist Scott Roeder who murdered the well-respected Dr. George Tiller while he was in church, or the seditious 'Hutaree Group', a 'Christian Warrior' militia outfit accused of conspiring to commit acts of violence against their local law enforcement department. And of course there are the 'patriotic' anti-immigrant 'Nativist' goons of the Minuteman Project, Inc., the self-ordaining racial separatists of the Phineas Priesthood and the Council of Conservative Citizens who would prefer it if the planet was only populated by White Christian heterosexuals and women like Ann Coulter. I also left out the murders of radio chat-show host Alan Berg by the White Power terrorist group, The Order and of African immigrant Mulugeta Seraw by neo-Nazi 'boneheads' in Portland, Oregon. I even could go further by citing how the Ruby Ridge incident did much to

help fertilize the notion that White racists in American deserve sympathy too and other underreported stories detailing the religious far-right's reach in the United States.

Even if they aren't overtly violent just talking up negative stereotypes to create animosity is just as bad. When evangelical Pastor Terry Jones set fire to an Islamic holy book, The Koran, in an effort to 'Hold Islam accountable' for the 911 attacks in the United States, scores were injured and killed with two UN staff members being brutally beheaded by a gang of religious freaks who believe in the very same 'Mystery God' as Pastor Jones does. The Muslim violence that occurred was well-documented. Pastor Jones' responsibility was never seriously questioned by the mainstream media. But in spite of all this documented violence you will be hard pressed to find major news services, in English, willing to do objective, investigative reporting on these issues.

Earlier this month I presented an editorial entitled, '*Why the Time to Dismantle Edward Bernay's Corporatist Propaganda Paradigm is Now...*' laying forth a reasoned case for why the organised left should get off its befuddled arse and start aggressively challenging the legal and moral credibility of Rupert Murdoch and his fascist-friendly international media empire. Like any other sober political analyst paying attention to the rise of Eurocentric paranoia and the gross inequalities of the never ending class-struggle, I fully expected Murdoch's News Corp and the UK Tories to deny everything and take responsibility for absolutely nothing.

And true to form they did just that and were allowed to feign total ignorance to the inner workings of their own media organisation. Moreover, they provided a functional example for their American executives to follow as they actively downplay the severity of the wiretapping of 911 victim families phone calls in the US with mobile devices provided to them by News Corp employees.<sup>1</sup>

Mainstream politicians, journalists and academics are consciously turning a blind eye to the rise of pro-White supremacist/Christian fascism in their nations while at the very same time they capitalise upon the social bad-jacketing of non-European ethnic and cultural groups.<sup>2</sup> Occasionally this is intelligently discussed in fair terms, but the fact remains that the victims of discrimination in Europe and the Americas are the same ethnic and cultural groups that have been demonised in European and Euro-settler social tradition, religion and law for centuries. This dynamic however is rarely discussed at length because to do so would be a self-convicting acknowledgement that xenophobia in the White world is an undeniable fact of life. Further, such an admission would empirically unshroud the reality that White racial perspectives and prejudices undoubtedly remain to be the primary reason why the world is in the shape it is.

The popular post-modernist argument that 'all oppression is the same everywhere and in every circumstance' is a Eurocentric deception. It suggests a sociopolitical, educational and economic equality amongst all people that does not exist. It flatly denies the harsh realities of White Power, its hatreds, its inconsistencies and its long and sordid history of violence against those they declare to be feeble and powerless. It is also a blatant insult to the basic humanity of the victims who have generally been convinced that it is proper and noble to acquiesce quietly to their own disenfranchisement. This of course is not by accident. It is by calculated design. White society is not ignorant of the routine stresses that demoralise the hearts and minds of the non-European world. It simply masquerades institutional marginalisation and exploitation as the normative division between the better and the worse amongst human society.

---

<sup>1</sup> 'News of the World targeted phone of Sarah Payne's mother' | Media | The Guardian [<http://ow.ly/7OcxM>]

<sup>2</sup> ZCommunications | 'Racism and Presidential Elections Since 1964: A Short History' by Ted Glick | ZNet Article [<http://ow.ly/7Oczh>]

And the problem is everywhere and anywhere you may care to look. Worldwide, the struggle between human dignity and the stubborn persistence of White racist advocacy, religious violence and economic robbery continues unabated by modern liberalism or common sense. Those of us who realise that our political, social and psychological under-development is the direct result of our struggle against racialism understand that have a great deal to do in terms of standing firm during these difficult times. We are not swayed by the pretty talk of the establishment or Barack Obama's skin colour. We live with the gross indignity of White racism and its by-products every day of our lives. What's worse, every White person in the Americas is fully aware of this reality, but only a precious few are prepared to do more than simply wax philosophical about it.

There is a reason for this and it is important that we understand the issue fully for what it is. Peer pressure is a very powerful thing. And when decent White folks stand up to White racism they often place themselves at considerable social and physical risk. White people who are not shy about their anti-racism can experience rejection, insult and sometimes violent retaliations from family, lifelong friends and other Whites who object to their 'cultural' and/or racial dissent. This is sometimes so damaging emotionally that people who do indeed believe ethnic equality may decide to either stay 'in the closet' about their feelings or, they may even pretend to 'go along' with the traditional attitudes as a means of social survival. Even for 'good' White people, the risk of being socially marginalised as a 'Nigger Lover' is simply too much to deal with when it is easier to simply acquiesce to the mainstream and agree that White's have an inherent God-given 'right to rule'.

And whether White people in the US, UK or Israel want to accept it or not, they are all to an individual actually profiting from non-White social and political disenfranchisement and genocide. Forget about the hypocritical statements made by US Justice Robert Jackson as he sat in judgment of German Nazis while his own country was still eliminating Native Americans to steal their lands and brutalising African people for profit and sport. The fact is, White supremacist attitudes, Europocentric power and unearned White privilege are the end results of racist imposition and belligerence, not the assumed frailties of the chosen victims. White power is a lot like the Christian concept of the Devil in that it claims it does not exist, yet it informs everything that occurs in national, international and inter-cultural relations. And if you dare tell the truth, the way Brazil's former president Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva did when he correctly pointed out that the international financial crisis is entirely the fault of the White people who really control what happens in the world, expect to be painted as a reverse-racist who despises 'civilisation' itself:<sup>1</sup>

*'This crisis was caused by the irrational behaviour of white people with blue eyes, who before the crisis appeared to know everything and now demonstrate that they know nothing. I do not know any black or indigenous bankers so I can only say [it is wrong] that this part of mankind which is victimized more than any other should pay for the crisis.'*

### **- Lula da Silva**

European conservatives took great offense to this but what could they really say? He was spot-on with his analysis and moreover, he was using the apologetics of White supremacy to effectively support his statement. The concept of White racial and cultural superiority isn't his idea, its the most elemental argument presented by the ever-dominating White male power structure. It is also the primary fundamental hypocrisy of White racist philosophy. If the White man is the only cultural and 'racial' entity on Earth that has ever produced anything of consequence, this means that White society must also accept its central role in all that is

---

<sup>1</sup> SNAFU-ed .... Situation Normal: Economic Crisis Due to "White People with Blue Eyes": Lula da Silva [http://ow.ly/7OcD9]

wrong with the world they assert they alone have created.

### **Rational Alternatives to Manifest Destiny**

The rise of the far-right is not simply a populist response to non-White immigration and modern religious and ethnic intolerance in the west. It is an engineered sociopolitical phenomenon created, financed and directed by the economic and social upper-classes of continental Europe and their corporate Euro-settler offshoots in the Americas and Australasia. And while 'Old Europe', (as former US Vice-President Richard Cheney rudely put it) is still a very important player internationally, the real bulk of actionable world power rests squarely within the intellectual domain of the United States which has occupied this exclusive position since the end of World War Two. Think beyond Bretton Woods, which is still important, and ask yourself why the literate world chooses to believe that the US is a bastion of democracy and human rights.

Are the educated around the world not cognizant of what is happening in occupied Iraq and Afghanistan? Of course we are. It is virtually impossible to avoid the 'designated information' streamed to the masses about the glorious mission to bring 'freedom and democracy' to the Arab world. Are we ignorant of the overt oppression and covert repression of Indigenous peoples, the African population, Spanish-speaking immigrants, political dissenters and religious minorities in the Americas? No, we are not ignorant of these human rights abuses but we are willing to turn a blind eye because the perpetrators are White. As long as the victims are not White Christians or Ashkenazi claimants to the Holy Lands, all forms of injustice to the human dignity of those deemed unworthy of being regarded as 'legitimate Europeans'<sup>1</sup> will be justified in deed, faith and the written word as sanctioned by the great Aryan deity of Providence.

This sad state of affairs is of course helpful to Judeo-Christian industrialists and bankers, but it is bad business for those whom they regularly rob, rape and pillage without mercy in the name of profit and Eurocentric hegemony. This is why understanding the White nationalist duo-doctrine of American Exceptionalism and Christian fundamentalism is vital if one is to make any sense out of what has happened in Norway. While the United States did not invent White racist religiously-sanctioned philosophy or violence, it certainly has perfected its practise and political apologia like no other society in history. And the United States is unique amongst the Euro-settler nations in that its institutional practise of ethnic hierarchy, marginalisation and racial terrorism are regarded as normal, if not benevolent, for those who are subjected to it.

And understand this too if nothing else, Europe's irrational intellectual belief in its own supremacy and cultural superlative will serve to be the factors that will bring about its eventual downfall. Like Apartheid in South Africa, White Power simply cannot last. Just do the math. Whether the situation is in Aotearoa (New Zealand) or Occupied Palestine, Europeans are not a racial majority anywhere outside of Europe, (except for maybe Argentina).<sup>2</sup> Ignore the usual multicultural arguments for a moment and just deal with basic numbers. Racism in any form is a foolish and black-hearted practise, but White racism in particular is completely daft and totally unrealistic. If White folks were smart, they would be eagerly finding ways to work along with non-European folks rather than seeking ways to exploit them.

And despite the wacky suspicions of the insecure, people of colour, even after centuries of mistreatment and neglect, still do not hate White people simply because they are 'White'. We hate the oppression and we hate the arrogance. If this were not the case, non-White feuds against Europeans would be a very regular

---

<sup>1</sup> 'Serbia grapples with surge in far-right violence' - World news - Europe - msnbc.com [<http://ow.ly/7OcGP>]

<sup>2</sup> The Wilson Quarterly: 'The World's New Numbers' by Martin Walker [<http://ow.ly/7OcNn>]

occurrence all around the world. It simply isn't happening. Outside of a few very well publicised incidents, non-Whites have been pretty forgiving. And although 'normal' people are finding ways to adjust to the new circumstances, the current trend we are witnessing of compulsive White racialism is clearly reactionary. It is a symptom of the White world's psychological failure to come to grips with a decaying system of monolithic Europocentric authoritarian domination.

Not that the major press agencies, celebrity psychologists or mainstream politicians report on it much, but conservative folks are frankly just a pitiful rabble of sad, insecure little sods afraid of the world their prejudices made out of whole cloth. And on that basis alone they should not be feared. Just like the American, (and now Canadian and Australian) Ku Klux Klan, the violence exhibited by White conservatives only betrays them. It just proves just how afraid they really are of things that logically challenge their closed-minded perspective of the world.

Their childish fear of non-Whites, Catholics, homosexuals, Jews and anyone else who crosses them is unfortunate. Its also a clear and easily readable indicator of just how deep set and how self-immolating such hatred is for those that hold on to such ideas. <sup>1</sup>Bigots of any colour are mentally ill, and Whites who practise race-ism are consciously vile. There is a very big difference between the two, disliking someone because of their ethnicity is bias, having the social power to actualise that bias is something else entirely.

Ignore the conscious liars and the loony songbirds of the conservative and neo-Confederate media lobby, Anders Behring Breivik, the devout Christian fascist terrorist who has openly admitted to planning and executing the recent attacks against organised leftists in Norway is one of theirs. They own this tragedy and we should not allow them any leeway to disassociate their politics or their intentional falsehoods from what has happened. And most importantly, we must not let Rupert Murdoch's News Corp be exempted from blame for pressing the bigotry button the moment they found out that Oslo was burning in the name of the risen Christ.

Despite the obvious cognitive and social setbacks of racist thinking, the important business of Europocentric, pro-Christian, ultra-conservative and neo-Confederate propaganda will roll along. Even in the face of extreme hypocrisy, White supremacist attitudes and terrorism can always be assured of a warm and welcoming reception from the people who bring us the news and from those who claim to serve us politically. This is a shame and people of goodwill must do more than just politely ignore such issues.

Racism is everyone's problem. And the problem of White nationalism and racist violence will not go away unless we all, all of us, work earnestly to expose and ridicule these attitudes and the movements that fuel them. Violence is the refuge of the weak-minded, so let's educate them, and ourselves, by taking the fight to another level. When we expose the roots of Europocentric ethnic bias we do more than just fight racism, we get to the heart of the unfair exploitation that threatens us all. Even the bigots.

After Vlakplaas,<sup>2</sup> I think we all deserve better than this.

- TheAngryindian

---

<sup>1</sup> 'How the far-right took hold in tolerant Nordics' - SwedishWire, [<http://ow.ly/7OcPh>]

<sup>2</sup>SEE: Vlakplaas - Wikipedia, [<http://ow.ly/7OcKI>]



# Why the Time to Dismantle Edward Bernay's Corporatist Propaganda Paradigm is Now...

How Rupert Murdoch's News Corp Opened a Window of Opportunity for the  
Progressive 'Left'

**TheAngryindian**

07.12.2011

*'All over the place, from the popular culture to the propaganda system, there is constant pressure to make people feel that they are helpless, that the only role they can have is to ratify decisions and to consume.'*

**Noam Chomsky**

Now that Rupert Murdoch's 'News of the World' newspaper (established in 1843 and acquired by News Ltd. in 1969) has been officially scuttled in light of the firm's nefarious exploitation of privacy-violating information technology, what are western progressive/democracy activists waiting for? This is the biggest break the dreaded pinko 'Left' has had since Wisconsin republican 'Tail-Gunner' Joe McCarthy was rightfully denounced in public in the US as a monstrous neo-fascist, falsehood-peddling drunkard. There is no longer any dispute -- big business, if left to its own devices, will use and abuse invasive personal information technology for material profit and political leverage when given the chance.

Laws and other ethical considerations recognising the rights of individuals in democratic states to be free of unjustified surveillance be damned. Even the investigation itself is in question since the authorities looking into illegal corporate phone hacking are also implicated in the acceptance of bribes and other favours paid over the years to police officials. And with rival newspapers reporting that Scotland Yard investigators are also seeking further information on yet another Murdoch executive, (who may have scrapped email messages to hinder legal inquires) who then can be trusted to tell the public the raw truth?

Given these particulars, there is absolutely no justification at this point for further political timidity from the progressive and liberal sectors of the English-speaking world in the wake of these stunning revelations. The well-connected Mr. Murdoch and his global media empire executives have been authoritatively exposed as the abominable capitalist meat-puppets we always knew them to be. Their illegal electronic surveillance of private citizens has painfully illustrated the dangers of unchecked personal-data collection in an age of socio-political neo-conservative cultural excess.<sup>1</sup> And further, the shenanigans do not end with the legal disassembly of the physical newspaper. Downing Street, if it is to be taken seriously, needs to explain to the British public how they could knowingly employ a person who headed a dodgy, foreign-owned, editorial environment strongly rumoured to utilise phone 'hacking' as a regular practise. I say 'knowingly' because another news agency, the left-leaning Guardian, reports that they secretly passed along details of News Corp

---

<sup>1</sup> BBC News - 'FBI to open 9/11 victims 'phone-hacking' investigation' [<http://ow.ly/7Odw9>]

ties to a dodgy private investigator to the Tories who did not seem to care about the allegations. Not at the time anyway.

Now things are different. Prime Minister David Cameron and the busy idiots that helm the Conservative Party are doing everything possible to divert public attention away from this disgraceful story of establishment corruption. And there I suggest is where progressive activists in the UK, US and Canada should draw their focus. While the politically nebbish will accept the popular misunderstanding that Rupert Murdoch's perversion of power and privilege will cease with the demise of the 'News of the World', the rest of us ought not be so forgiving. Instead of laughing, what we should be doing is vociferously shouting out from all the rooftops of the world that the brazen corruption we see in this case is just the tip of a much greater manipulative iceberg.<sup>1</sup>

Anyone who is genuinely shocked by these distasteful allegations really needs to get with the programme. This is merely one incredibly embarrassing chapter to a much larger story of capitalism's utter failure to regulate its jaundiced comprehension of greed and self-centred avarice. Rupert Murdoch and his international right-wing media mafia has been caught red-handed violating the public trust as well as the secure communications of democratically elected politicians in a 'free' western nation, so why is News Corp allowed to exist? For that matter, why is the Catholic Church allowed to carry on as a faith and as an institution? The answer is quite elementary once we take the time to look at both examples without regard for the robotic respect such entities typically command from the sheepish. Both are examples of long-standing, powerful professional fraternities that customarily have represented the perspectives, the aims and the falsehoods of the conservative and the elite sectors of any given social order.

In the case of the Mother Church, its job since its inception under Roman Emperor Constantine has been to pacify the common people into emotively accepting the dictates of Romans chapter 13: 'There is no government anywhere that God has not placed in power'. In regards to the news industry, their main role, at least since the Spanish-American War, has been to deceive and mislead their readers in directions that suit those who own the 'system'. This is why Rupert Murdoch's recent outing as a corporate Stalinist is so important. If the progressive political gypsies in the UK and US make the egregious error of allowing this story to fade into the memory hole, News Corp will not only continue its evil deeds, it will inspire the rise of other, much more insidious abuses by both corporations and governments unafraid of the public's opinion.

The people who really control what information we receive and how we physically engage with it are without exception the members of an elite class of moneyed interests that serve the political status quo. Even the most independent of the alternative news sources are to some degree or another are wholly reliant upon the distribution power of the established information infrastructure. Capitalists smugly refer to this as the 'marketplace of ideas', the free partnership they claim exists between producers and consumers which shapes and defines 'democratic' western popular culture. What they fail to mention of course is the fact that this system was created by people with access to extensive financial backing and more often than not, partisan political interests. Those who have the financial means to purchase or hire a printing press, ink and paper are able to get their messages out to the literate public.

This means that the news media, like every other business, is an industry with a vested interest in the flow of the economic and political current. And because of this, they are by fiat concerned with increasing their corporate profits which are in real terms an accurate measurement of their actual socio-political power as institutions. It is also important to understand that this sort of broad influence can matter more than actual

---

<sup>1</sup> BBC News - 'The global reach of Murdoch's News Corp' [<http://ow.ly/7Odze>]

hard currency. Those who advise the king are often much more powerful than their sovereign who must rely upon shared interests amongst the landed gentry and their common enemies to maintain positive control over his dominion. They know that just one negative editorial or Papal Bull can change the course of a nation's history and bring an end to an existing political and/or social order. Niccolò Machiavelli had it right, because these sectors all derive their power from the wilful gullibility, or blind trust, of the public. We can only expect them to prop one another up until circumstances, or greed, changes the nature of their relationship.

Take the 'faith' industry. Religion traditionally acts as a functionary of the state and economic elite and this is a clear misuse and abuse of authentic faith. But who in political or theological authority will dare to say so on the record? Incredible scandals involving everything from the 'Gentleman-in-waiting' to The Pope soliciting male trollops to the unspeakable misdeeds of the Christian Church for actively protecting dictators, organised crime and sexual abusers have been passively tolerated by both states and the general public for centuries. It is a damning obscenity towards everything these theisms claim to symbolise yet, professional religions continue to prosper unhindered by concerns for human justice and common decency.

I use the Catholic Church as an illustration because it is an entity that exists and receives elite patronage for one fundamental reason, it invisibly and effectively buttresses the domination of the extant status quo. News reportage does exactly the same thing without the emotional reliance on fealty, but it still operates on an assumption of faith. We assume to a great degree that what we see, read and hear in the mainstream media is essentially true, generally because it is presented to us by those deemed qualified to do so by the 'better minds' of the establishment. Like religious institutions, governments require a certain amount of unquestioned faith by the masses in order to function properly. This is why governments that can no longer command the confidence of the people often resort to proclamations of religious faith and piety in an attempt to placate popular dissent. It is a ruse that the churches, mosques and synagogues of recorded history have always participated in to secure their own positions of political and social domination at the expense of the exploited classes.

All competent progressives understand this illicit union between the state, the church and the information industries of society. This is precisely why the organised Left needs to act now, while public attention is centred around this case. How far up the capitalist food-chain does the damage go? With Murdoch owning most of the mainstream media in the western world, are we really to believe that News Corp phone hacking is limited to the United Kingdom?<sup>1</sup> Both the UK and the United States as of late have been at the forefront of invasive surveillance technology being channelled through the private sector and Murdoch has extensive news media holdings in both countries including his native Australia. Civil liberties experts and activists have long warned of the overwhelming potential for abuse by both governments and corporations on this issue, but their alerts have been ignored by the professional information media.

Instead of focusing on the corruption we all know exists within the system, mainstream news media outlets present arguments that promote the idea that only private industry can properly do the job of governance, economic engineering and wars of conquest. Other than that, all is well with the world as the multi-nationalist capitalists understand it. The visible downside of global capitalist economic controls for all accounts and purposes does not exist. Mostly because the establishment media doesn't report it.

These are not guardians of the public interest, they are merely commissars of the established order. When they abuse the public's confidence in both government and the media systems that are supposed to provide the masses with accurate news information, it is the duty of the general public in a functioning democracy to

---

<sup>1</sup> 'Hey Fox Fans, Your Favorite Network Is Part-Owned By a Saudi Prince Whose Family Rules By Sharia Law' « SpeakEasy [<http://ow.ly/7OdB8>]

denounce them as the totalitarian bastards they really are. Without an instinct for self-evaluation and rational criticism, a democratic society will always be at risk of teetering on the edge of morphing into something else. The educated progressives who populate the bulk of the UK Labour Party and the Democratic wing of government in the US understand this. The purposefully uneducated masses do not and the self-described ‘normals’ of the middle-class aren’t very interested in the topic until their own privileges come into question.

This is not by accident. Professional propagandists such as Edward Bernays and Madison Avenue’s advertising ‘smart guys’ intentionally fabricated the ‘Me, myself and I’ generation to increase consumer production and corporate expansions. When it began to show its darker side, they conveniently blamed its inherent failings on the dregs of the 1960’s counter-culture despite the fact that real Hippies didn’t care at all about material economics. The greed and self-centred excesses we see in modern society is a direct product of conservative economic selfishness, not social liberalism. And the news media has done the most in secular democracies to blur the debate over who is responsible for what goes wrong in the ‘system’.

We are a stupefied and apathetic society lead by manufactured illusions of false freedom and a personalised sense of tin-god grandeur. The general public has been convinced through mass media suggestion, engineered news and flat-out lies that the authentic liberal ideals that gave birth and form to modern western democracy are entirely compatible with the opportunistic, black-hearted economic aspirations of the neoliberal goblins who own the wealth of the world. The insanely ludicrous and clearly revisionist garbling of documented historical fact by conservatives is only one component of this problem. It is the corporate-owned media, popular media in particular, which carries the message of neo-conservative poppycock to the minds of the masses. But knowing this dynamic and operating on that basis to counteract the damage done by neoliberal extremism are two different things.

In many ways we are the victims of our own ideological hubris. The western Left, as we are lead to understand it, has done a very poor job of peering beyond its own false sense of ideological elitism and veiled xenophobia. Truth be told, liberals raised in democratic English-speaking societies are just as likely to believe in the very same narrow-minded concepts of Europocentric cultural, class and racial superiority as are their conservative counterparts. This explains why so many ‘democratic activists’ outside of the western world all look and sound exactly like second-rate actors in a really bad pro-capitalist film. They are all operating along a hard-sell Europocentric social model of modern ‘synthetic democracy’. Meaning, many of the people we see featured in the mainstream media calling for ‘democracy’ are really demanding nothing more than a ‘piece of the action’ for themselves and their financial backers, not authentic civil and political freedoms for the rest of us.

It is almost as if they are following a scripted stage discourse entitled ‘How to Become a Capitalist Wunderkind in Twelve Easy Steps’. Even worse, such perspectives will always engender a divisive attitude of contemptible scorn upon those not resigned to accept their social freedoms as gifts from God and the State. We need to be clear about our politics. Believing that you belong by default to an enlightened body politic is not in any way a ‘progressive’ perspective. And it is a very hazardous way to do the business of running a society. In a world that has popularly portrayed individuals who negotiate for civil equality and social co-operation as deranged megalomaniacs subconsciously plotting to undermine the well-being of ‘civilised’ society, clarity is more than just a virtue, it is a vital component of survival.

The mainstream Left has bought into the fear of the subversive liberal menace, especially as it applies to the political left in the English-speaking world before and after the proverbial ‘Cold War’. While socially-conscious political sentiments have always been under attack from the owning classes in the societies that have tolerated them, the advent of propaganda has only served to increase this pressure. The power of popular media must never be underestimated by those who favour their civil liberties. Newspaper articles and

editorials, talk-radio, pop entertainment and now the Internet do much to shape and influence the perspectives of the general public. These lines of communication are rapidly blurring into each other due to advancing technologies, but it is still up to the people who control the news industry to articulate the political issues of the day to the average citizen. And in this regard they are complete failures.

Nearly every major political decision made since the beginning of the industrial revolution in the west has been based on repressing the civil and human rights of working people, colonial subjects and the destitute while expanding the inequitable influence of the rich. Mainstream news media plays an important part in maintaining this principle of inequality. This is why mainstream alternatives to the paradigms of the institution cannot be expected to have any real effect. Once they become integrated within the corrupting culture of the establishment's 'system' they become more concerned with 'blending in' and gaining authority rather than representing the general well-being of the greater society. This is not a reckless speculation, it is a proven fact of modern political realities. Very few politicians in the UK, Canada or the US will operate their representative powers against the moneyed interests who pad their treasuries. This is why nearly all of the supposedly 'liberal' political parties that have ever existed have done little more than tacitly support the objectives of the owning classes at the expense of the people they claim to represent.

This is also why Rupert Murdoch's international neo-fascist propaganda organisation should, by rights, be dismantled as a threat to the democratic order of western society. And I strongly suggest that all of their managing editors be barred from working within any area of the communications field for life. Why? Because these people have abused their responsibilities to the public and have destroyed the reputations of real professional journalists who faithfully hold a mirror to their societies and report the news as it happens, not as they are told to sketch it.

Progressive journalists and activists are making an incredible mistake by not hammering Murdoch's business credibility into the ground with the plain truth of his raping of the public consciousness and political infrastructure. I say this mainly because I do not expect any left-wing politicians of any real influence to do anything other than protect their own well-padded fat arses and those of their dirty little friends in the business world. This is a job that must be done, and the role of the honest journalist in the west has been ruined irreparably by predatory, self-serving raw capitalism. But you will be hard pressed to find any 'respectable' news people in either the UK or North America that will dare mention capitalism's failings as a factor of the problem. Instead, Rupert Murdoch's rampant corruption is excused by his supporters and brain-dead fan base as the responsibility of the consumers who they say demand such sordid entertainment. They blame the victims, meaning the literate public, for buying, watching and believing in what they report. They accept no responsibility for anything. Even when they are caught in the act of fabricating the news.

News Corp's malfeasance is not limited to the United Kingdom. In the United States, Murdoch's FOX News Network has actually defended itself in court by admitting, under appeal, that they regularly falsify news stories and qualified that admission by defining themselves legally as an 'entertainment' service, not as a 'news agency'.<sup>1</sup> Interestingly, and democracy activists should be paying attention here, FOX News actually won their cases based specifically on this argument. So there is no dispute, Murdoch's news service is not really a news service by their own admission. Although this is common knowledge in the US, both ends of the political spectrum operate as if these startling revelations were never uttered. No one, including the celebrity darlings of the dreaded left-wing opposition, have raised this fact about Rupert Murdoch's misleading information cabal. And we should, as a society, be asking ourselves why that is.

---

<sup>1</sup> Free Republic: 'Appellate Court Rules Media Can Legally Lie' [<http://ow.ly/7OdE2>]

Honest journalism in a democracy is supposed to safeguard the public's 'right to know', not to defend the 'Divine right of Kings' and corporate privateers. But that is exactly what News Corp has been doing worldwide and now they have branched into illegal electronic surveillance of private citizens and political figures. So, what is a sentient democracy to do with such an obviously villainous organisation carrying on in its midst? Nothing, other than bitch about it while Murdoch continues to aggressively acquire more media interests and by extension, more political power. This is establishment corruption in its most classic sense, but virtually no one is willing to rock the boat while Captain Rupert is at the helm. And this includes the organised Left which is so visibly intimidated by the bully-boy tactics of the right-wing that they cannot speak clearly about what they know to be true. In this Orwellian day and age, no one wants to be seen as unsympathetic to the current system. Mostly because ferocious capitalists like Rupert Murdoch will do everything in their power, legally or illegally, to vindictively ruin your life and scatter your ashes to the winds.

Let's cut to the chase and admit the obvious. Rupert Murdoch and his nasty little organisation is a direct product of unbridled capitalist selfishness. In other words, he is a reflection of his times. Western society, led economically by the United States after World War Two, has been purposefully engineered upon a series of social policies clandestinely designed by socially conservative pro-business social analysts to instil a culture of mass consumerism. This engineering is so total in its encroachment that citizens and admirers of the United States see only the carefully manufactured face of capitalism, not its unattractive and more cold-blooded characteristics. Racism, misogyny, environmental destruction, human exploitation and class warfare are never discussed as negative by-products of pure capitalism. Adam Smith, the recognised father of European free-market theory pointed out early on what he identified as that the 'vile maxim' of the capitalist is thus: 'All for ourselves and nothing for other people'.

Capitalism is a vicious system. It is an economic and social organism based on material accumulation at the expense of common sense and collective social responsibility. It is a system of amoral exploitation, of both natural resources and of peoples unable to defend themselves against the might of a businessman backed by a national flag. It is a philosophy of the bully that justifies itself by measuring its own power against the weak, the infirm and the uninformed. Pure capitalism, when viewed objectively without the commercials, has shown itself to be little more than socially acceptable brigandage cloaked in an off-the-rack Brooks Bros. grey suit. It is the operative political expression of Robert Ardley's 'Central Position' theorem that suggests human beings are by evolutionary instinct little more than covetous little bastards with a penchant for chaos and weaponised violence:

'Other forces of enormous power, all similarly derived from the animal world, play their instinctual roles in the drama of human conduct. We have investigated a few of them: the drive to acquire private property; social groupings based on the defense of a territory held in common; the commandment to gain and hold individual dominance within such a society; the contest between males for superior territory or superior status; sexual choice exercised by the female in terms of the male's acquisition of property or status; the hostility of territorial neighbours, whether individual or group; and the dual code of behaviour, prevailing in the members of a group, demanding amity for the social partner and enmity for individuals outside the territorial bond. All these are human instincts derived from ancient animal patterns. But to them must now be added those particular attributes of the hominid ["man-like"] line: the way of the predator, and the dependence upon weapons.'

**-Robert Ardley, African Genesis (1961)**

Since I am not an anthropologist, I will not attempt to go any further other than to suggest to the reader that this is a very pessimistic social estimation of one's fellow man. It is also without a doubt the worldview of the conservative, a perspective that everyone standing around you is a potential enemy or at best, easy prey to be sheared of their material and cultural wealth. It is the mind-set of the paranoid and of the terrorist. It is also a clear illustration of unqualified, irrational fear. And this psychological trait is commonly found amongst

those whose insatiable thirst for power, money and ethnic/religious purity knows no bounds. It is in essence, an description of all that is Rupert Murdoch and those like him. We must ask as a society why we are allowing ourselves to be manipulated by a limited number of people who are nothing more than paranoid little gnomes willing to destroy everything in their path to get what they want for themselves?

If the 'Left' is serious about doing something credible to counteract the capitalist class, now is the time. Do the work of informing the public on how News Corp has been twisting the truth not just to sell papers and floor wax, but to promote an agenda of limitless greed at the expense of working and poor people. In reality, Rupert Murdoch is just one cog in a very large propaganda machine, but he is a significant dynamic that binds the corporatists and political right-wing together. Telling the plain truth about Murdoch at this time is the best weapon progressives have at their disposal.

So use it.

- TheAngryindian



## Brief Thoughts on the Police Killing of Yet Another Black Man in Britain: Why the Plight of the Asiatic Black Man and Police Brutality Should Be Everyone's Problem

TheAngryindian  
08.13.2011

"This is the Deep South", I said. "When you have Negro guests, do you have any trouble with your White guests"?"

No...no...the type of White man who would come to the Trappists - well, he comes here to be in an atmosphere of dedication to God. Such a man would hardly keep one eye on God and the other on the colour of a man's skin".

- **John Howard Griffin - 'Black Like Me'**

---

**This commentary is in recognition of:**

Mark Duggan (UK)  
Derrick Jones (US)  
Kenneth Harding (US)  
Amadou Diallo (US)  
Mulrunji Doomadgee (AUS)  
Kingsley Burrell (UK)  
John T. Williams (US)  
Smiley Culture (UK)  
Jean Charles de Menezes (UK)

Deacon Frederick Williams (US)  
Sean Bell (US)  
Ayanna Jones (US)  
Oscar Grant (US)

**& a host of other Africans Indigenous Americans and other People of Colour  
who have lost their lives at the hands of police officers in the course of their duty.**

As the international mainstream media continues to aggressively downplay the social and economic causes of the Tottenham Riots and elsewhere in the UK while highlighting the government's crackdown on both violent looters and legitimate peaceful protesters the name of Mark Duggan has been largely forgotten. In fact, the killing of a young, African married father of four during a violent encounter with the City of London's Metropolitan Police has received little respect in the corporate media at all. And had the riots never occurred, there is a very strong possibility that no one would even know the name Mark Duggan. Or the fact that he had a family and a life of his own separate from the social outbursts that have sprung from the general public's justified anger over his extra-judicial killing.

Now that the IPCC has officially admitted that they wrongfully encouraged the public to believe that Mr. Duggan had fired a weapon at the police, there is now a credible dispute over whether or not the firearm attributed to Mr. Duggan actually belonged to him. And given the ugly particulars behind the false report given by the London Metropolitan Police officers involved in the original conflict, why should we assume that the weapon 'found' at the scene was his? How many other UK citizens Black, White, Brown and in-between have been found sapped of their lives after encountering the police and other security personnel? According to bloggers such as Alexander Higgins and others in Britain the number, disregarding ethnicity, stands at 333 people who have been killed by UK police officers between 1998 and 2010 alone.<sup>1</sup>

Please note that this tally does not count the violent and otherwise abusive encounters citizens face when dealing with the police during this period, before or even after. Even so, no one within a position of 'responsibility', (the Tories favourite word) is willing to admit in an appropriately broad sense that this Black man had a human right not to be killed by the police and then demonised by his nation's government or media as a 'thug' without credible evidence. And as of this writing the public has still not been told why the vehicle Mr. Duggan was riding in was stopped in the first place. And both the MET and the English news media establishment have yet to take full responsibility for encouraging the heightened social tensions that led to the outbursts of anti-social violence.

It must be said here that this writer is thoroughly appalled by the random destructiveness and viciousness shown by the numerous social misfits, bastards and joy looters that used this disturbance as a cover for their own selfish misdeeds. Bread and butter criminality should be addressed and no one disputes that. Having said that, it must be made clear that there is a distinct political and ideological difference between those who were demanding justice for a victim of police brutality and the rabble who were acting out of personal frustration with the whole society at large.

The MET police it is clear were not and are not at all concerned about these particulars. And like the individual officers responsible for the Duggan killing, the MET as an arm of the British government has decided on its own that the use of deadly force is a prerogative exclusive to the state and its chosen agents.

---

<sup>1</sup> Guardian: The Ignored London Riots Context - 333 Deaths In Police Custody, 0 Convictions

To Hell with what 'rights' the general public may believe they have, professional British police backed-up by the Prime Minister have made it abundantly clear that they are the masters of life and death. And if you are Black, and male, this means that each time you step out of the safety of your home there is a strong possibility that you may not return if you are unlucky enough to have to deal with the police.

So beware Black & Brown Britain, because England is not what it claims to be. Its rose is not meant for you. Even if you were born within the audio reach of Big Ben, England is not yours. It is not a socially-equal democracy and it has not moved beyond its own issues with anti-African xenophobia and petty racism. On the once merry streets of what used to be England the police have evolved into a brutal, uniformed gang of the state and of the rich. This is not to say that this was not always situation anyway, but it is to say that after the hypocrisies of the 2011 riots, the MET now comes across more like a band of racist thugs donned in riot-gear than as professional peace officers working with and by the community's consent. Mark Duggan is dead. This cannot be undone. And the question remains, how many more Black men must die at the hands of police officers before someone, other than the damaged families of the victims, admits that anti-African racial profiling by police in European and Euro-settler nations is a fact of life?<sup>1</sup> And, that it is wrong?

This killing should be of concern to everybody, not just African and immigrant communities of colour. When the Prime Minister immediately went public with an announcement that rogue force would be liberally employed by the state as a means of restoring order in Tottenham and greater London this was a warning to the public. It says a great deal about our prospects for progress in relations between police and the communities in which they are supposed to serve. The violence that came to Mark Duggan should not have happened. And the questions surrounding why his killing was covered-up by law enforcement, the mainstream media and the Conservative Party leaders has yet to be fully explained by our betters in the international 'establishment'.

I say international because a Euro-American police professional, William Bratton, has been hired by the British government to 'assist' them in dealing with the public disturbances resulting from the Duggan killing. There are serious problems with this idea and it simply takes a brief review of his record as a policeman in the United States and how the US itself handles its poverty-driven crime issues to see that the UK government has yet again punked-out in favour of 'Americanizing' England via the US model and its business and national security-state community.<sup>2</sup>

Mr. Bratton may be promoted by the international Europocentric press as a 'Supercop', but for African, Latino and sexual minority communities who reside in the US cities where he has worked, his legend was, they say, constructed solely upon his heavy-handed approach to aggressive and biased policing of minority and poverty-stricken communities. Even the mainstream US media has noticed that his stated perspectives on race-neutral policing present a serious problem for communities that have long suffered from violent, systematic and often lethal abuse at the hands of police officers. This is a national, and now international, question of basic human rights, but even the first African-American US president will not speak about it, which says much about his ties to the African community at home and abroad as it does about his political commitment to universal human and social justice. As far as the African community in the US is concerned, they are the victims of historical racism and social negligence, and for them, Bratton's leadership has never, in any case, ever worked towards progressively solving the long-standing problems of urban community policing.

---

<sup>1</sup> Cory Doctorow: UK gov't wants to legalize racial profiling – Boing Boing

<sup>2</sup> Getting Rich from the TSA Naked-Body Scanners :Raven Clabough -New American, Thursday, 18 November 2010

The MET police it is clear were not and are not at all concerned about these particulars. And like the individual officers responsible for the Duggan killing, the MET as an arm of the British government has decided on its own that the use of deadly force is a prerogative exclusive to the state and its chosen agents. To Hell with what 'rights' the general public may believe they have, professional British police backed-up by the Prime Minister have made it abundantly clear that they are the masters of life and death.<sup>1</sup> And if you are Black, and male, this means that each time you step out of the safety of your home there is a strong possibility that you may not return if you are unlucky enough to have to deal with the police.

So beware Black & Brown Britain, because England is not what it claims to be. Its rose is not meant for you. It is not a socially-equal democracy and it has not moved beyond its own issues with anti-African xenophobia and petty racism. On the once merry streets of what used to be England the police have evolved into a brutal, uniformed gang of the state and of the rich.<sup>2</sup> This is not to say that this was not always situation anyway, but it is to say that after the hypocrisies of the 2011 riots, the MET now comes across more like a band of racist thugs donned in riot-gear than as professional peace officers working with and by the community's consent. Mark Duggan is dead. How many more Black men must die at the hands of police officers in the UK before someone, other than the damaged families of the victims, admits that racial profiling by police in Britain is a fact of life. And, that it is wrong.

This should be of concern to everybody, not just African and immigrant communities of colour. When the Prime Minister immediately went public with an announcement that rogue force would be liberally employed by the state as a means of restoring order in Tottenham and greater London this was a warning to the public. It says a great deal about our prospects for progress in relations between police and the communities in which they are supposed to serve. The violence that came to Mark Duggan should not have happened. And the questions surrounding why his killing was covered-up by law enforcement, the mainstream media and the Conservative Party leaders has yet to be fully explained by our betters in the international 'establishment'.

I say international because an Euro-American police professional, William Bratton, has been hired by the British government to 'assist' them in dealing with the public disturbances resulting from the Duggan killing. There are serious problems with this idea and it simply takes a brief review of his record as a policeman in the United States and how the US handles crime to see that the UK government has yet again punked-out in favour of 'Americanizing' England via the US business and national security-state community.<sup>3</sup>

At the risk of offending my more sensitive readers, my cultural relations and the various political gypsies that regularly read my commentaries, I must state at this juncture that I have absolutely no problem with peace officers who perform their duties professionally and with respect to rights and basic humanity of the people. I accept the necessity for qualitative peace work just as I accept the necessity for a rational system of national defence and for honest intelligence services. I am not a naive cherub who does not recognise the negative. Nor am I paranoid. And am quite aware and I understand the basic math of the situation.

What I do not accept are disingenuous arguments for overwhelming and unjustified policing of individual rights and liberties based solely upon the fears of the landed gentry and the capitalist class. Adam Smith put it best when he said that the state exists only to defend the claims of the ownership class. And I, along with other conscious people, take issue with the unspoken suggestion that People of Colour living in the White world, must simply accept the reality that institutional racism exists in government and in the police forces and

---

<sup>1</sup> UK: Racial profiling encouraged: by nukegingrich | October 20, 2007

<sup>2</sup> Stop and search plans are 'discriminatory', watchdog warns | Law | The Guardian

<sup>3</sup> Getting Rich from the TSA Naked-Body Scanners :Raven Clabough -New American, Thursday, 18 November 2010

that this bias is a normal part of modern policing. Such sentiments ring extremely chilling to people living under European domination. s and their influence in Afghanistan, Iraq, Israel, Libya, Syria, Egypt, Sudan, Mexico, Puerto Rico, Guam, Hawai'i, Lebanon, Yugoslavia, Cuba, Venezuela, Palestine, Alaskan Natives and the Indigenous peoples living in Pakistan's Tribal areas

It is about time that we discuss and deconstruct these differences before the Dickensonian violence we are witnessing around the world becomes all that we are. I personally prefer sane and peaceful alternatives to social unrest, so let us begin here by understanding the cognitive dynamics behind why Africans, more than any other human group, suffer from atrocious levels uncalled for abuse at the hands of the world's powers. And let us also take the time to understand who it is that helps enable this mistreatment and why until the issue of African self-colonialism is logically addressed, none of us is truly free.

In the meantime here are my humble suggestions for moving forward in Britain after the Tottenham Riots of 2011:

1. The immediate investigation and prosecution of the police officers who were directly involved in the killing of Mark Duggan. This includes a serious and transparent investigation of the MET and the government officials who were instrumental in covering up the true facts of this incident and those who knowingly presented a series of false and racist rumours about Mr. Duggan in order to justify the deadly use of force.
2. Address 'Racial-Profilng' as a human rights violation, which it is, and end the policy of race-based police containment in the African and immigrant communities of the UK and across the White world.
3. The immediate and unconditional release of all urban youth detained as a result of the rebellion sparked by the police killing and bad-jacketing of Mark Duggan. Instead of hiring someone from a country that cannot deal honesty with its own police-brutality problems the UK government and landed gentry could enact a policy of urban economic development. Just the cure for proletarian social unrest in a just and sane society.
4. Reparations must be be paid without delay to the widow and family of Mark Duggan and all those killed, injured and otherwise physically and materially harmed by the outbreak of violence. Ultimately, the British government is responsible for the conditions that created the riots. And it is they that should fix the problems. Putting the price of repair upon the victims of injustice merely perpetuates the injustice.
5. And if they are feeling generous, the UK could effectively end its involvement in wars of aggression against the people of Africa living in the Motherland and across the Diaspora.

-TheAngryindian



## **Penn State, Paedophiles and Predator Drones:** A Reasoned Argument For Why the Conservative Movement Should Be Banned From American Politics

*'This war did not spiring up here on our land -- this war was brought upon us by the children of the Great Father who came to take our land from us without price, and who in our land, do a great many evil things...This war has come from robbery, from the stealing of our lands'.*

**- Spotted Tail, 1860**

If there were ever a reason to justify the suppression of the American conservative movement, capitalism and its adjacent faith-laden sociopolitical culture, the Penn State University sex scandal is just that cause.

It is now more than abundantly clear to anyone with a hint of a moral centre that the US conservative movement is little more than an amazingly self-deluded assembly of unceremonious hypocrites, war-mongers, pathological liars, unrepentant Eurocentric racists, misogynists and contemptuous sexual deviants who do not recognise the common concept of shame. I state that opinion bluntly and without an iota of reservation. After witnessing the events of the past several days I find it morally preposterous to entertain any other view.

One is lead to think that the Penn State abuse case is merely a passing embarrassment for the sports world rather than as an achingly illustrated example of just how far the US has sunk in terms of ethical, political and religious credibility. What I am referring to is the conspicuously sympathetic and genteel manner in which alleged innocence-destroyer Jerry Sandusky and his numerous academic, political and legal enablers (conservatives all) are being handled by both the mainstream media and especially by the politicised religio-conservative lobby. As far as the Republican Party is concerned, the conservatives at the centre of the Penn State scandal have nothing to do with the legitimacy of their politic. In fact, the local Republican commissars in the fair state of Pennsylvania have openly denied that the scandal will have any negative political repercussions on the standing of the GOP at all.

Why can conservative politicians assert this with such smug conviction? Because the sainted Joe Paterno has seriously been seriously considered for the Presidential Medal of Freedom in recognition of his efforts as a sports icon and as a staunch Pennsylvania Republican Party collaborator. That's why. And further, university football makes far too much money and patriotic cannon fodder for the 1% to be stopped by the unfortunate indiscretions of a monomaniacal sexual predator who just happens to hail from their wretched ideological flock.

An unfair and rushed assessment you say? I think not. Perhaps I should remind the reader that when Republican presidential candidate and junk-food tsar Herman Cain was asked by the crack US press about sexual abuse allegations made against him by several different women during a debate, the attending conservative audience angrily 'booed' the journalists as 'agents of the liberal media' and cheered on Mr. Cain as a hapless victim of the 'Democrat Machine'.

Just last month during yet another pointless Republican 'debate', the conservative peanut gallery made it abundantly clear that if a coma patient could not afford to pay for his/her health care that the 'system' should simply let the poor person die. And while Libertarian politician Ron Paul did respond to the question reasonably and mentioned some alternatives, he and the other conservative candidates reliably failed to chastise the revolting gallows laughter that served to underscore the true Republican position on this very important public concern.

In short, conservatives, including the assorted institutions that represent their selfish interests, are nothing

more than a gaggle of demented, petit-Machiavellians in search of self-interest by any caustic means they deem necessary to realise their selfish goals. Let the moral cards fall where they may.

These people are the American Berserkers, the laissez-faire, xenophobic religious zealots deluded by dramatic visions of Euro-American cultural superiority and the commanding might of America's considerable military and economic power. These unconscionable actors have so jaundiced the national discourse that even passive dialogue has rapidly devolved into an acceptable thoroughfare for Judeo-Christian extremism, bigotry, corporate welfare and the new Republican Party pastime of standing around during internationally televised presidential 'debates' quarrelling over which countries should next be bombed by the US and turned into 'free-speech zones of democracy' for the benefit of the free-market and the 1%.

The Penn State-Sandusky abuse case, as far as the Republican Party and their witless legions are concerned, is a matter to be treated as if it were an isolated and insignificant blip in an otherwise 'conventional', and highly profitable, university American sports culture. I support this assessment by pointing to the tacit 'respect' shown to the principal players in this horrific drama. It is beyond shameful. It is foul. And it is unforgivable. And further, the chilling fact remains that an establishment mainstream news agency, NBC, and a reputable sports journalist, Bob Costas, dared to grant a suspected serial paedophile with a public platform to soberly convince the rest of us that he isn't a dreadful ogre of the highest order. A questionable move to say the least.

As far as this writer is concerned, this cold-blooded act of establishment benevolence empirically serves to validate my point that the current conservative influence on social and political affairs has effectively impoverished not just the global economy, (via the capitalist-led sub-prime mortgage scandal) but the very humanity of the country. And with all due respect to the failure of both political parties to regard the rights and wishes of the people they are supposed to represent, it is the right-wing, conservative dynamic in the US that has once and for all demolished any and all American claims to an unprejudiced, ethical purity. When the predatory psyche of a nation begins to victimise the most vulnerable of their own number, to plagiarise Bro. Min. Malcolm X, 'The chickens have indeed come home to roost' and the conservative movement is entirely to blame.

I stand on that statement. And I also quote here Representative Stan Saylor, (Republican) as quoted by Tom Joyce of the York, Pennsylvania Daily Record/Sunday News:

*'We have to be careful on who we condemn in this case until we know all the facts...It is America. You're innocent until you're proven guilty.'*

Indeed, I contend that the question of innocence is at the very heart of this matter. The sacrosanct innocence of children who have been ungraciously betrayed and spiritually destroyed by the conservative sociopolitical environment entrusted to protect them in a seemingly responsible American educational institution. Let us finally face the gruesome facts as they are. The United States of by G-d America is faltering under the tonnage of its own hubris. These innocents were physically, mentally and spiritually violated by the conservative political and cultural ideology that has always made grand claims to being diametrically against exactly what has occurred at Penn State University under their watch.

The hypocrisy is stunning. And this cannot be overstated.

As I write this, the everyday people around the world actively involved in the now international #Occupy movement are being viciously beaten in the streets, pepper-sprayed for no reason and gaoled for peacefully demanding an end to capitalist exploitation, corporate environmental damages and government disregard for social justice and human rights. This is a stark contrast to the passive police response to the genuinely savage

student riot that occurred in response to the sacking of head coach Joe Paterno. Moreover, it also clearly demonstrates how selectively-oppressive American law enforcement officials and municipal politicians can be when it comes to public displays of political unrest.

The mainstream media does a damn good job of downplaying, completely ignoring and demonising social elements and developments that intelligently challenge the systematic abuses of the establishment against defenceless people. And more often than not, a brazen partiality is generously accorded to the conservative perspectives of any given story or event. Due to the insular nature of the capitalist system, only those with economic and/or political influence are allowed a voice in the mainstream news interchange. Therefore, the information that we receive from the mainstream press is nothing more than pre-packaged and oversimplified pro-establishment propaganda presented in an easily digested porridge designed to misinform the masses. Even if not intently political, the lucrative media industry is quite political in its impact and is without a doubt positively partisan towards the more conservative sectors of the extant power structure.

For example, rampaging Caucasian conservative rioters can physically attack working journalists, set fires to private university property and upend a remote news vehicle without one single arrest, or charge of police brutality. On the other hand, peaceful protesters, left-wing political dissenters, anti-war veterans, African males, Spanish-speaking immigrants, Muslims and professional journalists are habitually and undemocratically mistreated without establishment condemnation or acknowledgement of the ideological dichotomy of these questionable actions. So far, not one person in a position of social or political authority or mainstream journalist has found this event to be strange enough to comment about openly as a matter of rational defence for the practice of popular democracy.

In effect, the 'new normal' in the United States has come to be defined by the neo-conservative movement's vociferous allowances for fluid conceptions of freedom, democracy, the US Constitution and the dignity of personal decency. And despite all of their haughty and boastful rhetoric concerning the importance of integrity, 'traditional' family values and personal responsibility in American society, conservatives of both political parties, by way of their own observable and questionable personal behaviour, have shown themselves to be a stupendously paradoxical and nefarious lot. And since they reject the stark realities of their sociopolitical and theological negatives in the very same way they ridiculously disavow the scientific method, it should be of no surprise to otherwise sensible persons that conservative people are by fiat, illogical, irrational and quite possibly, clinically insane.

To be fair, it should be noted that there does exist an admittedly small segment of the Republican Party that has openly, and bravely, voiced concern about the direction of the conservative lobby. Credible Republicans such as Jon Huntsman, Jr. and Gen. Colin L. Powell (ret.) honestly reflect the classical ideals of of classical Republican Party progressives such as Fiorello La Guardia and Wendell Willkie. They reject the extremes that have developed since the Reagan-Bush years. However, since the election of Barack Obama to the presidency, Cartesian common-sense in the US has visibly dissipated and the Anglo-Saxon propensity for xenophobic clannishness has come out of the proverbial closet. Being a racist slob is no longer something to be ashamed of. On the contrary, ethnic, religious, gender and nationalistic biases have now become a badge of honour again. Especially in the current American political arena.

Under the guise of defending democracy, US conservatives have regularly argued in favour of severely limiting, if not outright rescinding, the hard-won social fairness rights that distinguish American society from many others. And while I can present a solid argument for the existence of functioning, First Nations democracies in the Americas before the arrival of the White man, it is important to mention here that many of the sociopolitical liberties that exist in modern US society today were earned through the peaceful, civil disobedience methods of the organised Left that as a matter of principle, presented an humanistic, although

Europocentric, alternative to the putrid xenophobia of the extant Euro-settler culture.

This history is purposefully hidden from the contemporary discourse. And with substantial support from the corporate-owned information media, the conservative lobby has successfully muddled the public's understanding of personal and political liberties. This includes the solemn concepts of decency and responsibility. Basic considerations for human and civil rights have been manipulatively re-articulated as 'privileges' generously accorded to a feeble-minded lumpenproletariat they gleefully assert are only concerned with next season's round of bread and circuses. The observable reality that millions of people in the United States and around the world are non-violently standing up in a sincere effort to demonstrate their right to personal, political and economic independence and peace from the neoliberal vampires of the First World is dismissed as inconsequential.

Furthermore, these acts of rank democracy have been falsely identified by the as anti-social, anti-Christian, anti-American and therefore imminently dangerous to the 'rightful' predatory order of things. Instead of responsible self-inquiry we are lumbered by a culture of wilful ignorance and in the case of coach Jerry Sandusky of Penn State's football programme, we are all witness to a conservative lobby cover-up as large and as wide as the state of Pennsylvania.

Denial, deception and dishonesty are the hallmarks of the American conservative. It is simply pointless trying to argue otherwise. But, I know that despite all of the documented evidence that exists attesting to the fraudulence that lies at the root of the conservative consciousness, there are some of you reading this who will take umbrage at hearing the truth. For many, the suggestion that conservatives, Republicans, neo-Confederates, corporatists, neo-Nazis, evangelical Christians and their more candid freewheeling cousins the libertarians are sociopathic and hypocritical dangers to rational society is offensive. Even though the accusation holds considerable merit.

Let's get real here. Conservatives are always keen to swiftly accuse those who disagree with them of promoting social and political discord through an 'anything goes' philosophy they erroneously associate with left-wing politics. Of course this is a deliberate misunderstanding of progressive sociopolitical and economic principles, but more to the point, it is an entirely duplicitous ploy intended to give emphasis to the spurious claim that conservative Americans are more 'American' than those who are not as narrow-minded, fearful and as incurably bigoted as they are.

Remember now that this is the very same clique that bizarrely preaches a quite belligerent argument against abortion while aggressively supporting the highly questionable and anti-life practise of the state and federal death penalty. It is pure hypocrisy. How else can one describe it?

Conservatives as a general rule of thumb are liars. They are perpetual fakers who have forcefully re-edited the nation's already jaundiced perception of modern sociopolitical life into something the legendary German fascist propagandist Joseph Goebbels called 'The Big Lie':

*'If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it. The lie can be maintained only for such time as the State can shield the people from the political, economic and/or military consequences of the lie. It thus becomes vitally important for the State to use all of its powers to repress dissent, for the truth is the mortal enemy of the lie, and thus by extension, the truth is the greatest enemy of the State'.*

I should emphasise here that this editing of reality is in large part made possible by the cultural preconditioning we acquire as a result of living in a Euro-settler dominated, Anglophone colonialist state. The

internalised indoctrination we receive in the US as a matter of political necessity must present itself as free and clear of dogmatic and constrictive aberrations of its claimed democratic and socially egalitarian mission as well as its place in history. This is why the symbiotic relationship between the moneyed classes and the bureaucratic elite, as represented by the mainstream US media, is particularly loathsome in that it presents a terribly false sense of normalcy for things most reasonable people should be naturally repulsed by.

War, genocide and social injustice issues are unsettling topics for most people and many will naturally want such negativity ended sooner rather than later. This however does not bode well with the powers that be because frankly, there isn't any big money in the business of selling truth, peace and forgiveness. War, conflict and incessant proletarian-level criminality are profitable industries for the 1%. It does not concern them that most rational people realise that sociopolitical systems based on exploitation, xenophobia, violence, controlled poverty and unrepentant greed are inappropriate and contrary to what an advanced society should look like. To the busy idiots of the owning-class, this is a perspective that must be overcome by any means available to them. And since they own the means of information production, we see what they want us to see. And most importantly, we see things in the form and fashion in which they choose for us to see them.

Conservatives frequently and aggressively project an inaccurate and contorted view of the world that really only appeals to the most retrograde elements of the lunatic fringe. 'Normal' people, for lack of a better term, are generally appalled by violence and injustice. Conservatives are not. This is why I assert that it is not the quality of their message but their brash and juvenile vociferousness that does much to falsely exacerbate the fib that most of mainstream America agrees with them. This isn't true. But what is true is that due to the power of the corporation-friendly mainstream media, their influence far exceeds their actual numbers and conservatives would not enjoy any authority at all were it not for the treacherous alliance between the press and the corridors of power.

They merrily present unrestricted avarice and the resulting violence that is generally necessary to make it possible as relevant and necessary to the health of the US society. Because of this, it is presumptively assumed by the more gullible people who comprise a fair number of the common public that the partnership between money and power is impartial, just and desirable. This is why the chaos of conservative thinking is allowed a pass no matter how morally abhorrent the offence.

This is why I find it completely apropos to hypothesise that the institutional anti-human tendencies of the US state-side colonial enterprise and its overseas empire is faithfully reflected in both Sandusky's odious transgressions and the malignancy that is the conservative movement seeking to protect itself from exposure as a nest of vipers for protecting him. And perhaps this is an obvious point, but the abject failure of the right-wing dominated media to report seriously about the more unsavoury aspects of the conservative lobby and the boorish hi-jinks that take place amongst the ranks of the Republican Party is also seen in the tepid coverage accorded to unwelcome US involvement in the affairs of other countries.

Pressing matters such as the real human toll resulting from the indiscriminate utilisation of US Predator drones, extraordinary rendition, leftover 'Bombies', (unexploded munitions) white phosphorus (Whiskey Pete), the use of cluster bombs and extra-legal assassination missions in the Muslim and African worlds are rarely, if ever, discussed in square terms for regular folks to understand. But we are however made privy to their hateful comments and off-colour remarks that make light of the astonishing damage done by conservative theories of world domination and racial and cultural purity. We are told to have a sense of humour about such things since the 'real' negative aspects of these philosophies only happen to the 'lesser peoples' of the world: the paupers, the untouchables and what is left of the politically conscious.

Political and social dissent is regarded today as treason. And legitimate questions concerning the right to

challenge the right-wing direction of the country, and the entire industrial world for that matter, are considered to be degenerate acts of anti-establishment intellectual anarchy. This is a stark contrast in comparison to how conservative transgressions are dealt with in the public realm. When conservatives violate their own stated principals the subservient nature of the corporate-owned media articulates these misdeeds as blurs. The larger particulars may eventually reach the public's ear, but any deeper analysis of the story will always be filtered through the cheesecloth of elite and state ownership over journalistic output.

This is why the long list of conservative and vociferous anti-Homosexual Republicans who have been caught seeking sexual favours from other males, the broad and repetitive violence of right-wing, anti-abortion terrorism, the antiquated racism of the White Power movement, the reality of evangelical Christian/conservative anti-government sentiment, (such as the type that lay behind the Oklahoma City Bombing attack and the Waco, Texas standoff) and the numerous right-wing sexual predators who often happen to be costume wearing neo-Nazis seeking to institute a pure-White nationalist state are not matters up for discussion within the polite confines of the corporatised media. The press regularly fails to report on the worst features of 'American Exceptionalism'. And virtually nothing is conveyed in a meaningful way concerning the reality of just how coercive, manipulative and villainous the US system of conservative intellectual domination really is for those it oppresses.

America's conservative movement and its emphatic pro-Europocentric, religio-nationalistic depreatory bile is, as far as this writer is concerned, the root of all modern evil. The resulting xenophobia, unnecessary class-divisions and devastation created by capitalist-driven anti-human violence is portrayed by them as the 'good' while those who speak in favour of objective humanistic recognition for common decency and basic fairness in social and political matters are regarded as the 'bad'. This strange and twisted perspective has become the sole accepted norm in the United States and the flagrant imbalances related to this mentality have taken its toll on the whole of the national and global community.

The deterioration of sustainable ways of life, work and progressive intra-cultural participation have been ignored by those responsible for the decline of these vital dynamics. They are not interested in healthy, sane and functional societies. They are concerned exclusively with what they want at any given moment. Even if it means sacrificing the welfare of the whole of the world in order to do so.

Given the avaricious idiosyncrasies of the conservative movement we should not be at all surprised when we find patriotic, conservative voting Christian Republicans smack at the centre of a despicable and ghastly sexual scandal involving the vulnerable. This is what conservatives do best, taking rapacious, gluttonous advantage of those who cannot defend themselves from being violated and who are not likely to be listened to, or believed, when they speak out against their abuser.

A brief look at US political history will help to illustrate this charge. During the Senator McCarthy years of utter conservative ethical depravity in US politics, his attack-dog, Roy Cohn, was a closeted Homosexual who ambitiously hunted down closeted gay men for government prosecution as Communist threats to the capitalist social order. Many careers were ruined and some people committed suicide after they were outed. His famous anti-Red Menace compatriots, Federal Bureau of Investigation founder J. Edgar Hoover and his life-partner, Mr. Clyde Tolson, followed suit making life miserable for other gay men while they lived directly in the shadow of conservative society doing exactly what they were persecuting other people for. And depending upon who you might ask, there is considerable circumstantial evidence that German Nazi leader Adolf Hitler was a closeted 'Man's man' (and coprophilia enthusiast) who eventually abandoned his covert sexual life once he became Chancellor and later 'Der Fuhrer'. After a long period of being politically and personally tolerant of Germany's vibrant gay subculture he aggressively reinforced Paragraph 175 (§175 StGB;) resulting in thousands of people expiring under terrifying conditions in fascist concentration camps.

Ironically, When the work camps were finally liberated by politically conservative US forces in the waning days of the war, prisoners sent there due to gay 'offences' were immediately remanded to the new West German prison system to finish out the sentences handed down to them by the Nazis.

Being a conservative apparently means never having to say that you are sorry. And being a G-d-fearing conservative is no particular guarantee of special wisdom or virtue either. Consider here for a moment that the most conservative organ of the establishment, the Holy Mother Church, is a virtual hornet's nest of notoriously indignant, haughtily unapologetic serial sexual degenerates unfairly shielded by their White clerical collars and the blind faith of the laity. Yet, they still command a very considerable influence on national and international politics despite their incredibly long history of Judeophobia, paedophilia, tacit support for right-wing dictatorships and illegal money laundering for governments and international organised crime. Not one virtuous heart amongst them. And in saying that I include on this list of abominable demon-bastards the very seat of the Church's source of Earthly power.

I refuse to accept the irresponsible argument that the Pope does not have the authority or the means to critically and authoritatively address the Catholic Church's wicked habit of secretly coddling and defending their legions of objectionable, predatory shamans. He does. He simply chooses not to. This is clear. In fact, he has yet to answer for his own right hand man, the papal 'Gentlemen-in-Waiting' who was recently caught in a police sting attempting to procure the best male prostitutes Rome had to offer from a phone line based in the heart of the Vatican. We still have no clear answer as to who he may really have been 'shopping' for, but it remains to be said that when corruption such as this can be found at the highest levels of human moral authority, none of us is safe.

I say that the Catholic Church should be banned and dismantled as an organism of theological and political power. It is an obscenity. I also state, for the very same pragmatic reasons, that the US conservative movement and all of its various and sundry representative entities should be banned and dismantled as well before they finally succeed in bringing down what remains good and decent about the American social project.

As much as I gripe about the United States as an anti-Indigenous Euro-colonialist engagement that hates the African and the Native American to its very core, it is still in many ways a progressive society and this is so only because brave and committed progressive people have struggled against great odds to make it that way. Not because of the 1%.

We, the people, are responsible for the 40-hour work week, health insurance and the social safety net that supported Objectivist philosopher Ayn Rand (under a pseudonym) in her later years after decades of her furious railing against such altruism in western society. Not the rich. It was the common people in the US that took to the streets and stopped an unjust colonialist war in Vietnam. Not the politicians. It took the insistence of angry American Indians occupying federal property to remind White Americans that Aboriginals still existed. Not the BIA. It was the passionate African liberation struggle in the Americas that gave rise to popular political resistance movements across the Anglo-colonialist world. Not the passing of the Civil Rights Acts or symbolic amendments to the US Constitution which are not worth the paper they are printed on.

As the late US president John F. Kennedy indicated before he was assassinated by conservative elements of the American society, 'We can change laws, but we cannot change what is in a man's heart'. The state can enact any restrictions it chooses, but laws on their own cannot make positive and sustainable change. People, everyday people must be willing to discard their fears and the falsehoods of the more indoctrinating and vulturous aspects of institutional social understanding to truly see who it is that is being harmed and how so

we may act to effectively stop the pain.

The disgusting revelations of the Penn State abuse scandal has made it clear to all of us that the American conservative movement and its wolfish culture of raptorial disregard for human decency is a threat to civilised society at home and abroad. And it should be opposed as the hypocritical, anti-human and anti-progressive creed it has callously shown itself to be time and time again. If the conservative movement cannot accept responsibility for what happened at Penn State and the hypocrisy of its political leadership, their unholy relationship with the Catholic Church and the promiscuous use of Predator drones and other belligerent military activities against defenceless, non-combatant peoples, it can never again say that it is as a philosophy at all concerned about the rights of the people or the natural rights of the unborn child.

I say this because innocent living children are regularly added to the growing register of acceptable losses in the US-led international 'War on Terror'. These are the hapless human statistics free-market Christian conservatives in the United States cheerfully refer to as 'collateral damage'. And as far as I am concerned, this is further proof that the conservative lobby's claim to be gatekeepers of the virtuous is a grand and ugly fiction.

Conservatives are the annihilators of virtue. They are also the opponents of peace. They are bluffers, charlatans and outright ideological thugs not worthy of regard or consideration from reasonable people in a reasonable society.

I find this to be a fair and reasoned assessment in light of what has occurred at Penn State University and what continues to occur on the streets of our major cities. The world has been turned upside down. While American military veterans are being assaulted and hospitalised by civilian law enforcement, US conservatives have been caught enabling and protecting a serial violator of the vulnerable and have been accorded ready access to the media so they may 'explain' themselves.

I ask the reader to consider this question: who is being beaten in the name of justice -- and -- who isn't?

If the political left-wing allows the conservative lobby to get away with these shenanigans in the court of public opinion, the progressive movement will have failed to point to the ideological soft white underbelly of the bogus moral certitude of the conservative establishment when it is most vulnerable. In stark political terms this is the time to expose the conservative movement for what it is. An unsavoury idea that at one time had a purpose, but now is something that we can all do quite well without.

Enough is enough. Conservatives as a demographic and as a political party should be rejected in the name of common decency. And soon, before simply saying so out loud becomes yet another violation of the right-wing leaning judicial apparatus. It is not beyond the scope of the conservative mind-set, whether extreme 'Right' or extreme 'Left' to viciously repress free speech.

We have a right, as well as an ethical obligation, to oppose what we know to be wrong and to defend what we know to be legitimate and justifiable. When the US Republican political circuit can stoop so low as to safeguard an alleged sexual abuser and attempt to minimise the political fallout through careful manipulation of their role in covering up his offences, it is time to stop the conservative apparatus from doing any further damage.

Oppose the Republican Party and the whole of the American right-wing movement not out of anger but on

principle. For they are unconscionable bastards of the highest order.

- TheAngryindian



## Historical Revisionism at Play in the Minds of the Establishment

TheAngryindian

1.09.2006

'Take away the Holocaust and one is stunned with admiration for the brilliance of Adolf Hitler...'

**Harold Convington**, White Power advocate

There is a widely held and insightful notion that there exists a recognised distinction between not knowing and not caring. Former Secretary to the United Nations Madeline Albright made this delineation painfully clear when asked by CBS' Leslie Stahl if the deaths of more than 500,000 Iraqi children directly attributed to U.S. imposed economic sanctions under President Bill Clinton's administration were accurate. Her reply: an emphatic and resounding 'Yes'. She expanded her answer further by stating bluntly that the Clinton administration decided that the human toll exacted to "oust" Iraqi president Saddam Hussein from power was, 'Well worth the cost'.

Enter Washington Post columnist and conservative social arbiter George F. Will. In his latest diatribe against the National Collegiate Athletic Association's long overdue decision to offer more than lip service when addressing Indian unease at being exploited in the name of 'good clean fun', Will's piece 'Chief Among the Silliness' attempts to redefine efforts to eliminate ethnic insensitivity in college sports as political correctness run amok.

His documented disdain for multicultural oversight it appears extends to an unconcealed disregard for modern Native American realities least of all Indian self-respect. According to Will, Indians are a typically confused bunch fretting more about casino profits and other business investments than deliberate insults to their humanity. In assuming the colonialist's prerogative to define what is insulting to those affronted, Will is basically saying that Indian people are simply too dim-witted and inconsequential for these issues to matter to non-Indians nor anyone else.

If one is to believe Will, until liberals succeeded in persuading a handful of cynical Indians to view themselves as victims of ethnic stereotyping and that should be angry about it, Indian Country took pride in the attention and openly supported such typecasting. He trots out a handful of examples to validate his argument Native people are not actually offended by Indian mascots but in fact support their use. But these illustrations substantiate nothing but further evidence of the severity of the American Indian colonial condition that we are becoming accustomed to such dehumanisation and that some amongst us may even find worth in such monstrosities.

Likening the non-Indian NCAA to Orwellian thought-police, Will wants us to know that those who empower themselves to speak on behalf of Indians ignore the true sentiments of 'real' Indians. Authentic Native Americans to Mr. Will embrace insult such as the Saginaw Chippewa who refuse to allow any 'outside entity' to upset that nation's 'rich relationship' with Central Michigan University or its players. These Indians characterise the worst of Native America by not only acquiescing to our sub-caste social status in our own ancestral territories, but by augmenting that disenfranchisement and repression by validating a form of racism already codified in international law as genocide.

But the mascot issue goes further. It would seem that shamelessly conquering an entire continent by force and sadistically liquidating virtually its entire native population apparently isn't enough for Whites in the U.S. to feel convincingly self-assured. The distractive dynamics of ethnic misrepresentations presenting Indians as happy-go-lucky noble savages performing 'war dances' for inebriated sports fans across the country apparently satisfies this lack of surety in White dominance over this society. So what we are dealing with here are in reality issues of White self-identity and significance rather than Indian. Racism requires that delineations need to exist between oppressors and oppressed and by denigrating Indians as vanquished symbols of White domination, Whites in turn experience respite in cryptograms that reinforce their self-image as an all-encompassing entity.

#### Indifference As Logical Disassociation

For Mr. Will and other conservative commissars like him, the flagrant reality that Indians are perceptibly dismayed by such representations is of no consequence. Will unflinchingly expounds on this supposedly enlightened apathy with all the logic of a Stalinist copywriter:

*'If some Irish or Scots were to take offence at Notre Dame's Fighting Irish or the Fighting Scots of Monmouth College, what rule of morality would require the rest of us to care?'*

He is implying of course that civilised relations between people can rationally take place within an overwhelmingly bigoted environment since there exists no empirical regulation obliging society to care. Mr. Will should be commended at this point for a certain degree of candour even though the rest of his column defies logic or common decency. He doesn't care if Indians are insulted. In post-Rosa Parks America, one could be forgiven for assuming that its society would have learned at least a little about institutional racism, the callousness of marginalisation and the injury such intrigues generate in the spirits of those so subjected.

No, for Mr. Will, the bottom line about whether or not Indian mascots are injurious to Indians, society or both has always resides exactly where White interests level out. In other words, when they, White folks, decide for themselves that these images and pet names are offensive they will extricate them and not before.

By acknowledging that he is aware of American Indian discontent over this matter while paradoxically positing a rationalisation mocking those opposed to what has already been codified by precedent (as illustrated at Nuremberg in 1946 with the execution of Julius Streicher) and in black letter law, (in regards to the United Nations 1948 Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Genocide) Mr. Will is indeed unambiguously defending anti-Indian ethnocide. And if that does not sit well with American Indians, progressives and guilt-ridden White folks, tough. Mr. Will wants us to believe him when says that he is not obliged to give a damn.

But he is concerned enough however to offer what could be called a desperate stab at suggesting a moral deficiency in the US anti-mascot lobby. He fails in this endeavour though he might have generated a chuckle or two in a few who opine that American Indians are fond of buck-toothed fire-red gnomes that purport to

represent them since they are actually being 'honoured' by such brazen indignities. Will's piece offered not one explanation as to why it would be detrimental to society if this revolting humiliation ceased today. Instead we hear a clear and unambiguous White objection to rational inquiries that tell us we cannot have an equitable and bias-free environment while we are surrounded by symbols of Eurocentric racial power and prejudice.

The suggestion that barefaced European xenophobia and cultural bigotry in no way contradicts the very idea of a 'just society' is a cruel joke generally common to White supremacist racists. If Mr. Will and his neo-conservative cohorts were at all serious about what they vociferously profess politically, they would recognise the contradictions within their stated philosophies and act accordingly. One cannot have it both ways. Arguments that purport to prove that the concepts of racial superiority and democracy can co-exist are inherently false. That was supposed to be the entire point behind Nuremberg. Wasn't it?

What George Will has written in effect should have been titled 'A New Defence of Anti-Indian Racism' rather than the patently prejudiced 'Chief', a slur which only rivals 'Redskin' for emotive malcontent in even the most Europeanised Native American. Will's article lays to rest any line of argument that aims to rationalise racism in America as a non-operative anachronism. Institutional racism is just that, institutional and inextricably woven within the deepest recesses of the American id.

At this juncture it seems commonsensical to ask what significance should be accorded to Indigenous Americans ensnared within such an ugly arrangement. American Indian peoples as a whole have been forced by circumstance into a multi-generational struggle against genocide, forced absorption and political marginalisation. It is important to recognise here that the efforts currently employed by Indigenous rights organisations and movements to address First Nations issues are not, when viewed holistically, exclusively exercises in 'Aboriginal Uber Alles', Red Power extremism. The struggle for land rights, environmental protections, an accurate accounting of pre and post-Columbian Indigenous history and moral opposition to Indian genocide up to and including the usage of dehumanising ethnic caricatures should be topics all people of conscience are concerned with.

Nuremberg set an important precedent for what would be accepted as a universal understanding of common respect for the basic humanity of all peoples. But it needs to be said that while the United States, the primary nation sitting in judgment throughout the Nuremberg tribunal executed German and Japanese government officials, military officers and others for creating, propagating and maintaining the sociopolitical conditions that led to countless atrocities via programmes of xenophobic elimination in Europe and Asia did so while actively maintaining Indian reservations, Japanese internment camps and dehumanising Jim Crow laws at home. This single contradiction says much more about the folly of xenophobic Eurocentrism and American hypocrisy than anything else.

Africans dangled from the branches of trees in the American North as well as the South as the Ku Klux Klan and Black Legion used racial terrorism with complete immunity from public and political repercussion. The victims of the Klan were Black, Brown and occasionally Jewish. The victims of the Nazis were ethnic and social outsiders but in the modern political estimation the only casualties worth considering are those associated only with peoples recognised as 'White'. Non-Ashkenazi sufferers need not apply. So in effect, the skin colour of the victims of ethnic character assassination ultimately determines, corresponding to Eurocentric lines of reasoning, to whether or not such imagery is actually damaging to the group in question. It is an ethical decision reserved exclusively for European elites, not for those who happen to find themselves being exploited by them. This is the base significance of Will's quarrel: do not propose to the establishment that we are to give consideration to Indians. It interferes with our innate sense of superiority.

Ostensibly written to ridicule American Indian activists and our non-Indian supporters as unrealistic reverse-racists of an 'indignation industry', Mr. Will's callously tactless commentary achieves exactly the reverse. His spurious and simple-minded defence of team names and imagery that disgrace this country's Indigenous inhabitants go much further than simple anti-Indian bigotry. Mr. Will's 'White makes Right' approach to American life absentmindedly and doggishly confirms the anti-mascot activist assertion that insensitivity towards Indians as human beings is at its foundation an exercise in Eurocentric angst. Mr. Will's discourteous nonchalance in downplaying White society's ethnic chauvinism while standing in the shadow of Chief Wahoo reveals in detail the inherent flimsiness of traditional Eurocentric presumptions about humanity and the narrow minded limitations of neo-conservative compassion and character.

It also tells the American Indian that the genocide against us is real, it is damaging and that it is ongoing. May we heed the warning.

-TheAngryindian



## **Aboriginal Press Books**

**Occupied N. America - Rep. South Africa - Occupied Australia**

o

This collective work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-No Derivative Works 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

o

*This publication was created entirely with Creative Commons/Open Source/Freeware materials and software*